

Assam Legislative Assembly Debates

OFFICIAL REPORT

FIFTH SESSION OF THE ASSAM LEGISLATIVE
ASSEMBLY ASSEMBLED AFTER THE SEVENTH
GENERAL ELECTIONS UNDER THE
SOVEREIGN DEMOCRATIC RE-
PUBLICAN CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA

SEPTEMBER SESSION

VOL. V

No. 6

The 12th September, 1984



PRINTED AT BHASKAR PRINTERS, KACHARIBASTI
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-5, 1989

DEBATES OF THE ASSAM LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 1984

(SEPTEMBER SESSION)

Vol. V, No. 6

Dated the 12th September, 1984

Contents

	Page
1. Questions	1
2. Miscellaneous	45
3. Statement by Ministers	46
4. Announcement from the chair	51
5. Laying of Reports	53
6. Consideration and passing of Govt. Bills.	53
(i) The Assam Electricity Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984	
7. Adjournment	65

Proceedings of the Fifth Session of the Assam Legislative
Assembly Assembled after the Seventh General
Elections under Sovereign Democratic
Republican Constitution of India.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Dispur,
Gauhati on Wednesday the 12th September, 1984 with the
Hon. Speaker in the chair, 17 (Seventeen) Ministers,
15 (Fifteen) Ministers of State, and 57 (Fifty Seven)
Members present.

STARRED

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
(To which oral replies were given)
Date : 12th September, 1984

Starred question No. 62 was not put member being absent

Re : Piped water supply Tengajhar etc.

Shri Purna Boro asked :

*63. Will the Minister, P.H.E. be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government is aware of the fact that Piped
water supply projects at Tengajhar Pukhuripar and
Debok village under Goreswar and Rangia Circle

have not yet been completed ?

(b) If so, when would the project be completed ?

Shri Darsing Teron (Minister, P. H. E.) replied :

63. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Efforts are being made to commission the Debnik and Tengajhar piped water supply Schemes by March, 1985. Works on Pukhuripar piped water supply scheme could not be commenced as the drilling Rig to drill the Deep Tube Well can not be taken to the site due to damaged condition of three Bridges.

শ্রীপূৰ্ণ বড়ো—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, টেঙ্গাজাৰ পুখুৰীৰ যিটো পাইপ ওৱাটাৰ চাপ্লাই প্ৰজেক্ট এইটো বাষ্টাৰ ব্ৰীজ নষ্ট হোৱাৰ কাৰণে বৰিং কৰিব লাগে কিন্তু সেইটো কৰিব পৰা হোৱা নাই। এই স্কীমটো কেতিয়াবাই লোৱা হৈছিল। ৪ বছৰ পাৰ হৈ গ'ল। এইটো যিহেতু কেনচেল কৰা নহল গতিকে এই কামটো কেনেকৈ কৰিব আৰু কেতিয়া কৰা হ'ব ?

শ্রীদৰসিং টেবণ (বাজ্যিক মন্ত্রী)—এই ব্ৰীজ ৩ খন বেয়া হৈছে, সেই কেইখন ভাল কৰিবৰ কাৰণে আমি পি, ডব্লিউ, ডি বিভাগলৈ লিখিছো। এতিয়াও তেতিয়ালোকৰ পৰা একো উত্তৰ অহা নাই। যিমান পাবো এই কামটো সোনকালে কৰিবৰ কাৰণে চেষ্টা কৰি আছে।

শ্রীপূৰ্ণ বড়ো—পি, ডব্লিউ, ডি বিভাগে এই দলং কেইখন যদি ১০ বছৰলৈকে নকৰে তেতিয়ালৈকে কামটো বৈ থাকিব নেকি ?

শ্রীদৰসিং টেবণ (বাজ্যিক মন্ত্রী)—আমি ব্যৱস্থা নকৰা নহয়। ইয়াৰ এটা অলটাৰনেটিভ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰিছো। এই সম্পৰ্কত এগজিকিউটিভ ইঞ্জিনীয়াৰক নিৰ্দেশ দিয়া হৈছে। ইয়াৰ এটা অলটাৰনেটিভ ব্যৱস্থা লৈ পকা কুৱা বনাই বাইজক পানী দিব পাৰি নেকি সেইটো ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে।

Re : Distribution of T. S. P. Grants.

Shri Mohammad Ali, asked :

*64. Will the Minister, Rural Development be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government is aware that the B. D. O. Matia Development Block distributed T. S. P. grants to persons living outside the T. S. P. area during the year 1983-84 ?
- (b) If so, the reasons thereof ?
- (c) What are the schemes undertaken inside the T. S. P. area showing the amount involved in each ?
- (d) What are the Schemes undertaken outside the T. S. P. area showing the amount involved in each ?

Shri Raghunath Pamegam (Minister, Rural Development etc.) replied :

64. (a)—No, Sir.

(b)—Does not arise.

(c)—Only one scheme under Group Housing amounting to Rs. 24,000 was adopted in the Steering Committee meeting on 22nd December, 1983.

(d)—A statement showing the Schemes undertaken outside the T. S. P. component is laid on the table of the House.

শ্রীমহম্মদ আলি—এই যে মাটিয়া ব্লকৰ টি, এচ, পি গাৰ্ট পঞ্চায়ত আৰু তাৰ বাহিৰেও বি, এচ, পিৰ টকাতো ধাৰ্য্য কৰিছে এইটো বি, ডি, ও এ এলোকেচন দিছে। এইটো নীতি বিৰুদ্ধ হোৱা নাই নেকি ?

শ্রীৰঘুনাথ পামেগাম (মন্ত্রী)—আমাৰ হাতত থকা তথ্যমতে মাত্ৰ এটা স্কীম সেইটো হ'ল গ্ৰুপ হাউচিং। তাত ২৪ হাজাৰ টকা ধৰা হৈছে। আৰু বাকী যি ২ লাখ টকা আছে সেই স্কীম এতিয়ালৈকে ইমপ্লিমেন্ট কৰা হোৱা নাই।

শ্রীমহম্মদ আলি—বি, এচ, পি এলেকাৰ বাহিৰত যিবিলাক স্কীম ধৰা হৈছিল সেইবিলাক এতিয়াও ইমপ্লিমেন্ট কৰা হোৱা নাই। এই সম্পৰ্কত তদন্ত কৰি যাতে এই টি, এচ, পি এলেকাৰ টকাখিনি কামত ব্যৱহাৰ হয় তাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিব লাগে।

শ্রীৰঘুনাথ পামেগাম (মন্ত্রী)—যদি তেনে ধৰণৰ অৱস্থা হৈছে নিশ্চয় এই ক্ষেত্ৰত ব্যৱস্থা লব লাগিব। এই কাম দ্ৰুতভাৱে কৰিম বুলি প্ৰতিশ্ৰুতি দিছো।

শ্রীজগন্নাথ পাৰ্টগিৰি—এই টি, এচ, পি ত কি কি ধৰা হৈছে আৰু কোন কোন মানুহক দিয়া হৈছে ?

শ্রীৰঘুনাথ পামেগাম (মন্ত্রী)—ষ্ট্ৰিয়াৰিং কমিটিৰ সিদ্ধান্ত মতেই কাম কৰা হৈছে। সেইমতে গ্ৰুপিং হাউচিং স্কীমত ২৪ হাজাৰ টকা খৰচ কৰা হৈছে।

Re . Appointment under Regulation 3(f)

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatari, asked :

*65. Will the Minister, Panchayat and Community Development be pleased to state—

(a) The member of persons appointed under various posts, under regulation 3(f) of Assam Public Commission, in Panchayat and Community Development Department ?

(b) Whether the Government will lay a detailed statement of persons and posts filled up under such arrangement on the table of the House ?

- (c) Whether population pattern has been reflected on these appointments ?
- (d) Whether, Departmental Officers have also been upgraded to fill up such posts ?
- (e) If so, on what basis ?

Shri Tilok Gogi (Minister, Panchayat) replied :

65. (a)—32 numbers of Block Development Officers were appointed under 3 (f) of the Assam Public Service Commission (Limitation of Functions), Regulation, 1951.
- (b) Yes, A list of the persons has been placed on the table of the House.
- (c)—Yes, as far as practicable, every endeavour has been made to reflect the population pattern in the matter of such appointment.
- (d)—Yes, 48 posts of Block Development Officers were filled up by Department promotion under 4 (d) of the A. P. S. C. Regulation, out of which 29 posts has already been regularised by the A. P. S. C. and the names of others are being sent to A. P. S. C. for regularisation.
- (e)—On the basis of seniority cum merit. However due preference was also given to fill up the promotion posts with S. C/S. T. (P)/S.T. (H)/Tea Garden Labour, Minority and O. B. C. Community.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Mr. Sir, in reply to 65 (a) out of these 32 BDOs how many are ST., SC and

Backward Class people and in reply to 65 (d) how many are ST, SC and Backward class people ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister)—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I want to give information to the House about the entire position of the Department.

In the year, 1976 the APSC recommended 66 candidates on requisition of this Department and all these 66 candidates were appointed as B. D. Os in the same year. Out of these 66 candidates, 6 did not join and these 6 posts were proposed to be filled up under regulation 3 (f) of APSC as urgency demanded. Meanwhile, personnel Department were moved to allow recruitment of BDOs under regulation 3 (f) of APSC which they agreed and suggested to adopt resolution lying down procedure for recruitment in the absence of service Rules. Accordingly, a resolution was drafted in consultation with APSC which was approved by the Executive Committee on 9-10-82 (during Presidential Rules).

Meanwhile, the vacancies increased to 80.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Sir, with due apology I want to interrupt and say that the Hon'ble Minister is reading out something which I did not want. My point is, out of this 32 how many are scheduled castes and Scheduled Tribes and other backward classes also with reference to Question (d) how many are S. C. and S. T. and Other Backward Classes ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Sir, I tried to

give the exact picture so that other Hon'ble Members may also know the position.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatari—What is the position about Question (d) ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Scheduled Caste-5, Scheduled Tribe (Plains) -4, Scheduled Tribe (Hill) -2, Muslim -8 and General -29.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatari—Sir, if we go through the list that has been placed on the table of the House I can locate only 1 Tribal, by title of course and with reference to Question (d) we have not been favoured with a list on the table of the House. Therefore, Sir, it is not possible for us to ascertain whether out of these 48, 5 actually belong to Scheduled Caste, 4 to Scheduled Tribes (Plains) and 2 to Scheduled Tribes (Hills) and so on. Now, Sir, I think, by this list of 32 as per reply (a), the job reservation provisions have not been honoured. What is the reason Sir ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Sir, total No. of vacancies was 88. Out of this some are to be filled up by promotion and some are to be filled up by direct recruitment. The figure is like this : SC-6, ST (P) -6, ST (H) -3, Muslim-16, Labour-2 and General-47. This is the entire picture. We have got some more appointment to be made later on.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatari—Sir, with reference to Hon'ble Minister's reply, if we add up the figures

shown at (a) and (d) it comes to 80 whereas the Minister now says there are 88 posts. Will the Minister kindly clarify ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Sir, this is because of the backlog. We are trying to fill up all these positions.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatari—Sir, when will it be done ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Sir, it is under active consideration of the Government.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, will the Minister clarify the difference between 4 (d) and 3 (f) ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—4 (d) is meant for departmental promotion and 3 (f) is meant for direct recruitment.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, whether promotion posts are to be regularised by the A. P. S. C. ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat.)—Yes.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, I think Minister is not correct. When a person is promoted in that case if the post is a promotion post then the question of 4 (d) does not arise but if it is a new post out side the cadre probably it will be required. Will the Minister kindly clarify this ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat.)—Sir, nearly for all cadre posts approval of the A. P. S. C. is necessary.

Shri Prosad Doloi—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister who are these 5 persons belonging to the

scheduled Caste who have been appointed ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Sir, the list has been placed on the table of the House.

Shri Debesh Chandra Chakravarty—Sir, the Hon'ble Minister has stated that the population pattern has been reflected but it has not been stated whether any candidate from the linguistic group such as Nepali speaking person was appointed because if population pattern is to be reflected the linguistic minority should not be left out.

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Sir, there are so many candidates from so many minority communities that it is difficult to consider preference in all cases.

Shri Debesh Chandra Chakravarty—But not a single person was appointed from the linguistic minority ; or, were they not qualified ?

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister)—Sir, linguistic minority falls under many categories like Scheduled Caste, Scheduled Tribes and O.B.C. etc.—under many categories.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, I want another clarification from the Hon'ble Minister. If persons appointed under Regulation 3 (f) and 4 (d) are to be regularised by the A.P.S.C. then why 32 B.D.Os appointed under Regulation 3 (f) and some others under Regulation 4 (d) ?

Shri Tilok Gogoi (Minister, Panchayat)—Sir, there are different provisions. 50% posts are to be filled up by promotion and 50% are to be filled up by direct recruitment.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, my point was—when all appointments are to be regularised by A.P.S.C. then why 32 persons were appointed under Regulation 3 (f) and another 48 posts were filled up Regulation 4 (d) ?

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister)—Sir, in the matter of fresh appointments, Regulation 3 (f) is applicable and in the matter of promotions, Regulation 4 (d) is applicable.

বি : দুখনে মহকুমা গঠন

শ্রীজগত পাটগিৰিয়ে সুধিছে :

*৬৬। মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) দুখনে থানা, বংজুলি থানা, ধূপধৰা থানা আৰু গোৱালপাৰা থানাৰ পূৰ্ণ অংশক সামৰি নতুনকৈ দুখনে মহকুমা (চিভিল) গঠন কৰাৰ বাবে ৰাজহুৱা স্মাৰক পত্ৰ আৰু আবেদন চৰকাৰে পাইছেনে ?

(খ) যদি পাইছে এই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে কেতিয়া কাৰ্য্যকৰী ব্যৱস্থা লব জনাবনে ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্রী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৬৬। (ক)—সৰ্বশ্রী জগত পাটগিৰি, এম, এল, এ, মহম্মদ আলি, এম, এল, এ, হাচানুদ্দিন আহমেদ, এম, এল, এ, আৰু বনমালী কলিতা সাধাৰণ সম্পাদক, গোৱালপাৰা জিলা কংগ্ৰেছ (আই) কমিটিৰ পৰা মাত্ৰ দুখনে আৰু ধূপধৰা আৰক্ষী চকিক লৈ দুখনে মহকুমা গঠনৰ বাবে এখন স্মাৰক পত্ৰ পোৱা হৈছে ।

(খ)—স্মাৰক পত্ৰখন পৰীক্ষা কৰি থকা হৈছে ।

শ্রীজগত পাটগিৰি—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে এইটো বিবেচনা কৰিব নেকি গোৱালপাৰাৰ দক্ষিণ অঞ্চল অসমৰ ভিতৰতে পিচপৰা অঞ্চল । এই অঞ্চলটোত পঢ়া-শুনা আদিত ৰাইজৰ যথেষ্ট অসুবিধা

হয়। আমাৰ মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে গোৱালপাৰাত যাওঁতে নিজে দেখি আহিছে। দক্ষিণ পাৰ্ব্ব ছুধনৈত নতুনকৈ মহকুমা কৰাৰ কাৰণ মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে বিবেচনা কৰিব নেকি ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, গোৱালপাৰাত অনুন্নত অৱস্থালৈ চালে কিছুমান সমস্যাৰ সৃষ্টি কৰে। সেয়েহে কিছুদিন বাট চাব লাগিব।

শ্ৰীবিমল গয়াৰী—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যোৱা কালি এই পবিত্ৰ সদনত নলবাৰী এখন জিলা হব বুলি আলোচনা হৈছিল? মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে এইটো বিবেচনা কৰিছে নেকি ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, কৰা নাই। পিচত বিবেচনা কৰা হব।

শ্ৰীমইনুদ্দিন—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মোৰ স্মৃষ্টিৰ পৰা মহকুমা গঠন কৰিবৰ কাৰণে বহুতো কাগজ-পাতি চৰকাৰক দিয়া হৈছিল। মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে বিশেষভাৱে এই বছৰৰ ভিতৰতে মহকুমা কৰিবৰ কাৰণে চেষ্টা কৰিব নেকি ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, কাগজখিনি পাইছে। তাক পৰীক্ষা কৰিব দিয়া হৈছে।

দুৰ্গতলোকক সাহায্য

শ্ৰীহেমেন দাসে সুধিছে :

*৬৭। মাননীয় পুনৰ সংস্থাপন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাৰনে—

(ক) যোৱা ১৩।২।৮৩ ইং তাৰিখে অগ্নি সংযোগত হোৱা ঘটনাত কামৰূপ জিলাৰ ছয়গাওঁ চক্ৰৰ অন্তৰ্গত কলহী গাওঁৰ তলত নাম দিয়া লোক সকলৰ ঘৰ পুৰিছিল নেকি ?

(১) বিগত আলি—পিতা—দৰবেশ আলি

(২) কোৰপান আলি—পিতা—বাকচেশ

(৩) চাহাল্লা চেখ—পিতা ইস্তাজ আলি

(৪) বচন আলি

(৫) হাফেজ আলি

(খ) তেওঁলোকক এতিয়ালৈকে ৬০০.০০ (ছয় শ টকা) টকা সাহাৰ্য্য দি
বাকী সাহাৰ্য্য যেন ৩ বান্ধকৈ টিনপাত, ৭ মাহৰ বেচন আদি নিদিয়াৰ
কাৰণ কি ?

শ্রীসান্থন বঞ্জন চৰকাৰ (পুনৰ সংস্থাপন মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিব।

৬৭। (ক)—হয়।

(খ)—তেওঁলোকৰ নাম লিষ্টত ভৰ্তি নোহোৱাৰ কাৰণে কোনো সাহাৰ্য্য দিয়া
হোৱা নাছিল। মাত্ৰ প্ৰধান মন্ত্ৰীৰ সাহাৰ্য্য পুঞ্জিৰ পৰা ৬০০ টকাকৈ
বিতৰণ কৰা হৈছিল। এতিয়া জিলাৰ উপায়ুক্তই তেওঁলোকৰ নাম
অতিৰিক্তি লিষ্টত চৰকাৰলৈ সাহাৰ্য্য দিবৰ কাৰণে পঠাইছে আৰু
বিষয়টো চৰকাৰৰ বিবেচনাধীন হৈ আছে।

শ্রীহেমেন দাস—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, তেওঁলোক যি ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত এই সংক্ৰান্তলৈ
আহোতে চৰকাৰৰ কেইমাহ সময় লাগিছে ?

শ্রীসান্থন বঞ্জন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় সদস্যজনে জানে যে
লিষ্টত নাম থকা অবিজিনেল লোক সকলে ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হৈছিল। তেনে-
কুৱা মান্নুহৰ নাম আগৰ লিষ্টত আছিল। তাৰ পিচত বহুত মান্নুহৰ
নাম আছিল। কোন মান্নুহক কিমান টকা দিয়া হব এই কথাটো মাননীয়
সদস্যজনে বেছিকৈ জানে। যাৰ ঘৰ-ছৱাৰ পুৰি গ'ল তেনেকুৱা বহুত
মান্নুহে পাইছে। তাৰ পিচত আমাৰ মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে প্ৰধান মন্ত্ৰীৰ
সাহাৰ্য্য পুঞ্জিৰ পৰা ৬০০ টকাকৈ বিতৰণ কৰা হৈছিল। তেনেকুৱা
অৱস্থাত সকলো জিলাৰ পৰাই অতিৰিক্ত লিষ্টত পাইছে। ভাৰত চৰ-
কাৰে ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হোৱা মান্নুহ বিলাকক বিলিফ দিয়াৰ কাৰণে অনুমোদন
কৰা নাই। কিন্তু আমাৰ চৰকাৰে সকলো জিলাৰ পৰাই আকৌ
অতিৰিক্ত লিষ্টত সাহাৰ্য্য দিবৰ কাৰণে বিবেচনা কৰি আছে।

শ্রীহেমেন দাস—চাৰ, মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে মোৰ প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰ দিব পৰা নাই।

যিসকল মান্নুহৰ ঘৰবাৰী পুৰিল, ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হ'ল চৰকাৰে সেই মান্নুহ-

খিনিক সাহায্য দিয়াৰ সিদ্ধান্তত আহিছিল।

শ্ৰীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেই কথাটোৰ উত্তৰ আমাৰ হাতত নাই। অতিৰিক্ত লিষ্টত কামকৰণ ডি, চি, য়ে কিছুমান নাম পঠাইছে। যিসকলৰ নাম আছে, এই কথাটো বিবেচনাবীন হৈ আছে।

শ্ৰীহেমেন দাস—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই লিষ্টত সঁচা ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত মানুহ নাই। মিছা ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত নে সঁচা ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত লোক প্ৰমাণ নোহোৱাকৈ সাহায্য দিয়া কথাটো নাম তালিকাত আহি আছে। চৰকাৰে পাচত ঘৰ পোৱা লোক সকলক সাহায্য দিব নে ?

শ্ৰীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই মানুহ কেইজনৰ অতি-ৰিক্ত লিষ্টত নাম কৰা হৈছে। গতিকে তেওঁলোকক ক্ষতিপূৰণ দিয়াৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰে বিবেচনা কৰি আছে।

শ্ৰীহেমেন দাস—চাব, এই লিষ্টত কোনোবাই আগতে সাহায্য পাইছে নেকি ?

শ্ৰীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, তেওঁলোকৰ পৰিয়ালে আগতে সাহায্য পোৱা নাই।

শ্ৰীহেমেন দাস—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, ইয়াৰ আগতে বাপেকক সাহায্য নিদি পুতেকক কিয় সাহায্য দিয়া হৈছিল ?

শ্ৰীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, লিষ্টত পুতেকৰ নাম আছে। সেই কাৰণে দিয়া হৈছে।

শ্ৰীমইনুদ্দিন—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, বহুতো পৰিয়ালে টিনপাট বেচন আদি পোৱা নাছিল। মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে এই কথাটো জানেনে ?

শ্ৰীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই এনেকুৱা খবৰ পাইছো। সঁচাও আছে মিছাও আছে। মই নিজে খবৰ লৈছো, ডি, চিৰ দ্বাৰাই এই বেচন দিয়া হৈছে।

শ্ৰীমইনুদ্দিন—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, বেচন পাইছে, দুহেজাৰকৈ টকাও পাইছে। অথচ তেওঁলোকে এতিয়াও টিনপাট পোৱা নাই।

শ্রীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, টিনপাটৰ যোগান ধৰা হৈছে। টিনপাট দিবলৈ চৰকাৰে চেষ্টা কৰিছে। এইবিলাক সোনকালে দিয়া হব।

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, কেন্দুকনা অঞ্চলত যি ক্ষতি হল, সেই ক্ষতিপূৰণ পাব নেকি ?

শ্রীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেইবিলাকেও পাব। সেইটো ছকুম দিয়া আছে। সাহায্য নাপালে খবৰ লম।

শ্রীকান্তিক চৰকাৰ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যি টিনপাট দিয়া আছিল, সেই টিনপাট কি ধৰণে দিয়া আছিল ?

শ্রীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেইটো প্রশ্ন ইয়াত নাই।

শ্রীকান্তিক চৰকাৰ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, ঠিকাব ক্ষেত্ৰত যি আলোচনা হৈছে, আমাৰ সমষ্টিত সমস্যাই দেখা দিছে। টিনপাটৰ কাৰণে খেলিমেলি হৈ আছে। এই কথাটো চাব নেকি ?

শ্রীসাধন বঙ্গন চৰকাৰ (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এইটো বেলেগ প্রশ্ন। এই প্রশ্নৰ উত্তৰ ইয়াত আহিব নোৱাৰে।

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatari—Sir, the hon'ble Minister has replied even to general question of relief to the people. So, may I ask out of the 23,000 refugees at Gohpur only 10,000 and odd have been rehabilitated. What about the remaining people among the tribals ?

Shri Sadhan Ranjan Sarkar, (Minister)—Sir, though it is a separate question—the question of complete rehabilitation differs from man to man, some people want they should be rehabilitated in such and such way—the Govt. of India expects certain norms beyond which the State Govt. cannot go.

Re : Bhelakola L. I. S.

Shri Siraj Uddin asked :

*68. Will the Minister, Irrigation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that necessary survey and Investigation for Bhelokola L. I. S. have already been made ?

(b) If so, when ?

(c) At what stage the scheme stands at present ?

Shri Zahirul Islam, (Minister, Irrigation) replied :

68. (a)—No.

(b)—Does not arise.

(c)—Does not arise.

শ্রীচিৰাজুদ্দিন আহমেদ—আমি জনাত ভেলাকোলা এল আই এচ আঁচনিটোৰ কাৰণে চার্ভে হৈছিল কিন্তু মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে হোৱা নাই বুলি কৈছে। যদি হোৱা নাই, এই আঁচনি কেতিয়া প্ৰৱৰ্ত্তন কৰিব মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাব নে ?

শ্রীজ্যেহিকল ইচলাম (জলসিঞ্চন মন্ত্ৰী)—সকলোৰ কাৰণে চার্ভে নেহোৱাকৈ থকা নাই কিন্তু সম্পূৰ্ণ চার্ভে হোৱা নাই। সনকোষ নদীটো প্ৰত্যেক বাবেই তাৰ কোচ পৰিবৰ্ত্তন হৈ থাকে গতিকেই দিটেইল অৱকাৰভেচনৰ দৰ্কাৰ হৈ পৰিছে। অলপ সময় লাগিব। মাননীয় সদস্য গৰাকীয়ে অনুৰোধ কৰা মতে এই আঁচনিটো ১৯৮৪-৮৫ চনৰ আঁচনিত চেলফত ধৰি ৰখা হৈছে।

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকা—এই চার্ভে কিমানদিন আগৰ পৰা আৰম্ভ হৈছে ?

শ্রীজ্যেহিকল ইচলাম (মন্ত্ৰী)—এই বছৰতে আৰম্ভ হৈছে।

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকা—এই চার্ভেৰ কাৰণে কিমান টকা মঞ্জুৰ কৰা হৈছে ?

শ্রীজ্যেহিকল ইচলাম (মন্ত্ৰী)—মঞ্জুৰী এতিয়ালৈকে দিয়া নাই, ২০ হাজাৰ টকা বিচাৰিছে দিয়া হব।

বি : বিদেশী বহিষ্কৰণ

শ্ৰীমথুৰা ডেকাই সুধিছে :

*৬৯। মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) বিদেশী বহিষ্কৰণৰ কাৰণে এতিয়া পৰ্য্যন্ত কেইটি ট্ৰিবিউনেল গঠন কৰা হ'ল, আৰু ট্ৰিবিউনেল সমূহৰ দ্বাৰা কিমান সংখ্যক বিদেশী চিনাক্ত কৰিলে ?

(খ) বৰ্ত্তমানে ট্ৰিবিউনেল সমূহত কিমান সংখ্যক গোচৰ বিচাৰাধীন হৈ আছে ?

(গ) বাতৰি কাকত কিছুমানত প্ৰকাশ পোৱাৰ দৰে ট্ৰিবিউনেল সমূহৰ কৰ্মচাৰীসকল আৰু বিচাৰপতিসকল কৰ্মহীন হৈ আছে, কথাটো সঁচানে ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৬৯। (ক)—এতিয়ালৈকে মুঠ ২০ (বিশ)টা ট্ৰিবিউনেল গঠন কৰা হৈছে। ১৯৮৪ চনৰ আগষ্ট মাহৰ একত্ৰিশ তাৰিখলৈকে ৮ জন বিদেশী চিনাক্ত কৰা হৈছিল।

(খ) ৩১।৭।৮৪ লৈকে মুঠ ২৩৯ টা গোচৰ ট্ৰিবিউনেল সমূহত বিচাৰাধীন হৈ আছিল।

(গ)—গোচৰ সমূহ ট্ৰিবিউনেল সমূহলৈ পঠিওৱাৰ আগতে ভালদৰে পৰীক্ষা কৰি চোৱা হয়। প্ৰথমে গোচৰ বিলাক আৰক্ষী বিভাগে অনুসন্ধান কৰি নিৰীক্ষণ কমিটি (Screening Committee) লৈ বিবেচনাৰ বাবে পঠিয়াই নিৰীক্ষণ কমিটিয়ে বিবেচনা কৰাৰ পিচতহে গোচৰ সমূহ ট্ৰিবিউনেল সমূহলৈ বিচাৰৰ বাবে পঠিওৱা হয়। গতিকে ট্ৰিবিউনেল সমূহৰ কৰ্মচাৰী আৰু বিচাৰপতি সকল কৰ্মহীন হৈ থকা কথাটো সঁচা নহয়।

শ্ৰীমথুৰা ডেকা—মই মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ পৰা জানিব বিচাৰিছো এই যে বিদেশী অনুপ্ৰৱেশকাৰী তেওঁলোকক পুলিচে কেনেকৈ জানিব ? ১৯৭১ চনৰ ভোটাৰ তালিকা নাই বুলি চৰকাৰে কৈছে। গতিকে পুলিচে তেওঁলোকক কেনেকৈ বিচাৰি উলিয়াব ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ ডাঙৰীয়া, সম্পূৰ্ণ নাই বুলি কৈছে কিছু আছে। অন্যান্য দিশৰ পৰা বাইজৰ পৰাও আপত্তি আহিব পাৰে। অন্যান্য কোনো সন্দেহযুক্ত দিশৰ পৰা ইত্যাদি কথাৰ পৰা চিনাক্ত কৰিব পাৰে।

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকা—বিদেশী অনুপ্ৰবেশকাৰী সকল কোন ক'ত আছে তেওঁলোকক যে ট্ৰিবিউনেলৈ বিচাৰ কৰিব এই কথাটো বাইজৰ মাজত বহুল প্ৰচাৰ হৈছে নে, তেনেকুৱা কিবা বাইজক জনাইছে নেকি ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ ডাঙৰীয়া, এইটোৰ ওপৰতে ৰাজ্যখনত আন্দোলন হৈছে, বাইজে ভালকৈ জানে ?

শ্রীহেমেন দাস—মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে ২৩৯ টা গোচৰ আদালতত পৰি আছে বুলি কৈছে এই গোচৰসমূহ চৰকাৰে আদালতলৈ প্ৰেৰণ কৰিছিল নে কোনো মানুহে অভিযোগ দিছিল ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—আমাৰ চৰকাৰৰ ফালৰ পৰা দিয়া।

শ্রীহেমেন দাস—ট্ৰিবিউনেল গঠন হোৱাৰ পিচত বাইজৰ ফালৰ পৰা বিদেশী বুলি সন্দেহ কৰি কিমান অভিযোগ আহিছে মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—গঠন হোৱাৰ পিচত তেনে অভিযোগ অহা নাই।

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকা—ট্ৰিবিউনেল গঠন কৰোতা ছাত্ৰ সমূহই বিবোধীতা কৰিছে তেনে অৱস্থাত বাইজক সজাগ কৰি দিলে কোন বিদেশী বাইজে ট্ৰিবিউনেলক জনাব পাবিলেহেতেন। তেনে কিবা ব্যৱস্থা কৰিছেনে ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—হয় কৰা হৈছে।

Re : Bilasipara State Dispensary

Siraj Uddin, asked :

*70 Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

(a) The average number of patients at Bilasipara State

Dispensary for the years 1980-81, 1981-82 and 1982-83 ?

(b) Whether the present Medical Staff is enough to attend the daily patients ?

(c) If not, will the Govt. appoint additional Medical Officer immediately in public interest ?

Dr. Bhumidhar Barman (Minister, Health) replied :

70.(a)—The daily average of patients (old and new) at Bilasipara State Dispensary are as follows.—

1980	528 Nos.
1981	350 "
1982	384 "
1983	489 "
1984	560 "

upto July, 1984.

(b)—Not enough.

(c)—The matter is under consideration of the Govt.

শ্রীমথুবা ডেকা—এই যে এশ পঞ্চাশটা বোগী আছে বুলি কৈছে তাত কিমান জন ডাক্তর দিয়া হৈছে ।

ডাঃ ভূমিধৰ বৰ্মণ (স্বাস্থ্যমন্ত্রী)—চাৰিজন ডাক্তর দিয়া হৈছে । তাৰে তিনিটা চেংচন পোষ্ট । বোগীৰ সংখ্যা বেছি হোৱা কাৰণে অইন ঠাইৰ পৰা এজন ডাক্তর এটাষ্ট কৰি দিয়া হৈছে আৰু দুটা পোষ্ট দিয়াৰ কাৰণে ব্যৱস্থা লব ধৰিছে ।

শ্রীমথুবা ডেকা—এই পাঁচশ আশীজন বোগী দৈনিক হয় নে বছৰেকত হয় ?

ডাঃ ভূমিধৰ বৰ্মণ—দৈনিক গড় হিচাবত ।

Shri Jainal Abedin—Mr. Speaker, Sir, we know there are many dispensaries which are running short of doctors, compounders etc. Will the Minister assure the House that

he will conduct survey of all State dispensaries on this aspect ?

Dr. Bhumidhar Barman (Minister)—Yes Sir, we are looking into it. Anyway I will again look into it.

Starred question No. 71 was not put member being absent.

Re : Bhairabkunda Samabai Chenikal Ltd.

Shri Binoy Kr. Basumatari asked :

*72. Will the Minister, Co-operation be pleased to State—

(a) Whether it is a fact that fund has already been allotted to Bhairabkunda Somabai Chenikal Ltd; Udalguri ?

(b) If so, the amount so far deposited and for what purpose ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that Government imposed a Khandsari Unit on Cooperative Sector on the Tribal Sub-Plan in 1976.

(d) If so, what is the present State of this project & whether Government intends to implement this imposed project ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Cooperation) replied :

72. (a)—Yes.

(b)—An amount of Rs. 4.00 (four) lakhs sanctioned by State Government as share capital in 1978 was drawn and disbursed to the Bhairabkunda Samabai Chenikal Ltd., Udalguri. The same is lying deposited in Apex Bank, Mongaldoi Branch for utilisation in implementing the Khandsari project.

(c)—There was no imposition. Decision was taken in the interest of setting up small industry units in Tribal areas.

(d)—Collection of members share capital up to the required level as prescribed in the pattern of funding for the project is in progress. The society has taken over 100 bighas of V. G. R. land and concerned D. C. is requested to settle the land to the Society. The Society has set up its own office with few staff including one Secretary. The Society is yet to get the Project report prepared by the approved technical consultants. State Government is very keen on ensuring early implementation of the project but society has to take the lead and initiative in arranging project report etc. in consultation with Government.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatari—Is it a fact that due to the experience the Govt. have decided to scrap the Khandsari units all over the State ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister)—Govt. has not taken any decision in this matter.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatari—I do not know what to say now. I discussed the matter with him and I was told that the Khandsari units are unprofitable and therefore decided to stop erecting Khandsari Units.

Shri Upendra Das (Minister)—NCDC was asked to prepare project report. In their earlier letter they said

that 100 PC Khandhari unit may not be advisable....

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatari—In reference to this decision has the Govt. have decided to forgo erecting Khandhari units and replace these with Mini Sugar Mills?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister)—When project report is available then only Govt. can consider the matter.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatari—When was the Sugar Corporation asked to prepare the project report?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister)—That is not with me now. But I know that has been sent.

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, উদালগুৰিত কুঁহিয়াৰ খেতি কেনেকুৱা হয়, আৰু কিমান পৰিমাণৰ কুঁহিয়াৰ আমদানি হয়, মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাব নে?

শ্ৰীউপেন্দ্ৰ দাস (মন্ত্ৰী)—সেইটো যোগান বিভাগেহে কৰ পাৰিব। কুঁহিয়াৰ খেতি কিমান পৰিমাণৰ হয়, সেইটো পৰীক্ষা নিবিদ্ধ কৰি থকা হৈছে।

শ্ৰীমথুৰা ডেকা—মোৰ প্ৰশ্নটো আছিল, উদালগুৰি অঞ্চলত কিমান পৰিমাণৰ কুঁহিয়াৰ কৰা হয় আৰু কিমান আমদানি হয়?

শ্ৰীউপেন্দ্ৰ দাস (মন্ত্ৰী)—সেইটো চাৰভে কৰি থকা হৈছে।

Starred question No 73 was not put member being absent.

Re: Appointment of Draftsman,

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary asked:

*74. Will the Minister Irrigation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government is aware that the Chief Engineer, Irrigation appointed 19 Draftsman vide letter No. CEI (E) 105/80/113 dated 11th July, 1984.

(b) If so, who are the Schedule Tribe Candidates amo

ngst them and under what rules (regulation) the appointments were made?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the officiating Schedule Tribe Draftsman have been removed/retrrenched as a result of this appointment.

Shri Zahirul Islam, (Minister, Irrigation) replied :

74. (a)—Yes.

(b)—(I) There is no Schedule Tribe Candidate in the select list, for which fresh advertisement have been made by Chief Engineer.

(II) Under normal rules.

(c) No.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatari—Sir, in reply to (c) the Minister has said 'No'. Sir, the fact is that one Miss Binoda Daimari officiating as Draftsman has been removed from this post. The Minister has given a negative reply. Is it true?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—It was not a fact. She was allowed by Executive Engineer to officiate as draftsman on adhoc basic and as soon as a fresh draftsman has been appointed, she was taken to her original place.

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatary—The question is when she was allowed to officiate as draftsman there must have been capabilities on her part to officiate as such. Why then was she removed? Whether the Govt. cannot regularise her services?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—It is very difficult Sir, as there is a requisite qualification prescribed for the post of draftsman.

Shri Hemen Das—How a tracer was promoted to such a post unless she had the capabilities?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—She was allowed on an adhoc basis and purely on temporary basis.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatary—Whether she can be promoted to the post of draftsman?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—There is no such provision in the Assam Subordinate Engineering Service Rules.

Shri Hemen Das—If there is no such rules then why she was allowed to officiate by the Executive Engineer?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—I have already told that it was a stop gap arrangement.

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatary—How can the Executive Engineer do such an irregular thing?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—I have already stated the facts.

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকা—অধাঙ্ক মহোদয়, এধক বেচিচত কিমানক চাকৰিত নিযুক্তি দিয়া হৈছে?

শ্রীজেহিকল ইছলাম (মন্ত্রী)—বহুত ক্ষেত্রত দিয়া হয়, কিন্তু কিমানক দিয়া হৈছে সেই তথ্য মোৰ ইয়াত নাই।

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatary—Is it possible to give her training and confirm her in the post?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—There is no scope Sir, as

the qualification necessary is years draftsmanship course or 3 years diploma course. She is only a matriculate.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatary—Why Govt. cannot do justice by regularising her service?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister)—As per Assam Subordinate Engineering Service Rules we cannot do it.

Starred questions Nos 75, 76 and 77 were not put members being absent.

Re : Setting up of Polytechnic & I. T. I. in Karimganj

Shri Mainuddin asked :

*78. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government propose to set up Polytechnic and I. T. I. in the newly created Karimganj District?
- (b) If so, whether the population justifies priority?
- (c) Whether a Committee will be set up to examine the feasibility of setting up Polytechnic in the newly created district in a phase manner?

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister, Education) replied :

78. (a)—At present there is no proposal for setting up of Polytechnic in Karimganj District, Matter relating to establishment of I T. I. is under the Department of Labour and does not concern Education Department.

(b)—Does not arise in view of (a) above.

(c)—There is no such proposal at the moment.

Shri Sirajuddin—How many schemes have been undertaken by the Government during this financial year ?

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—We have one scheme this year and that is at Bangaigaon.

Shri Altaf Hussain Majumdar—Sir, does the Hon. minister know that large number of students from Brahmaputra valley even with high marks go to Silchar for admission in the polytechnic there and also for ITI ? In view of this will the Minister see that seats in the polytechnics and ITIs are increased ?

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—We have increased seats in the Silchar Polytechnic from 120 to 180.

Shri Altaf Hussain Majumdar—Sir, this increase of seats from 120 to 180 has been done earlier. Even now there is possibility to increase seats further as large number of students from Assam valley are going to Silchar for admission which will naturally deprive the students from Cachar and Karimganj districts. So, in view of the situation, will the Minister examine the question of increasing the seats further in the Silchar Polytechnics and ITI ? The buildings and other facilities are there and only decision to increase seats further is to be taken.

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, we may examine it.

Shri Umaruddin—Sir, the hon. member has said that students from the Brahmaputra valley are going to Silchar for admission in Polytechnic and I. T. I. So,

can't the need be met by establishing more Polytechnics and more ITIs here in the Brahmaputra Valley ?

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, the Government has decided to examine the question of increasing some more Polytechnics throughout the State.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, last year some high schools have been upgraded into Higher Secondary schools and I do not object to such opening of Higher Secondary schools. But why can't we increase the number of Polytechnics and ITIs in Assam ?

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, this is a personal opinion.

Shri Hemen Das—No, Sir. In these trades there is a great rush by our youths. I do not object to the opening of Higher Secondary Schools. But in view of great demands for admission in Polytechnics and ITIs, what is the harm in opening more Polytechnics and ITIs in Assam ?

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, I have already said that we are examining the question of opening more Polytechnics and ITIs.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, we want to know from the Minister about the proposal regarding opening of more Polytechnics and ITIs.—

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, this is under examination stage.

Shri Hemen Das—Atleast the Government should propose that they are going to establish Polytechnics and ITIs in such and such places in the State. Without doing that how can you examine this ?

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, Director of Technical Education is examining the feasibility of establishing more Polytechnics in different parts of the State and his report is yet to come.

Shri Hemen Das—Sir, this is a serious matter and this should not be left to the Director of Technical Education. We want that a political decision should be taken in consideration of the youths of our State.

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, the Government have already decided to have more polytechnics in Assam and the technical aspect of this is being examined by the Director of Technical Education.

Shri Altaf Hussain Mazumdar—Sir, what examination is necessary ? Sir, it is known to everybody that large number of persons are coming from West Bengal and have been employed in Paper Mills because there is shortage of personnel in Assam who are trained in ITIs and Polytechnics. So, political decision to have more ITIs and Polytechnics in Assam is to be taken. The fact remains that there is need to have more polytechnics and ITIs in Assam as trained people from outside the State are coming and getting into the jobs. Are we going to sleep over this problem ? Let the government take a political decision.

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, the ITIs are not under the Education but under Labour department and so they can say.

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister)—Sir, the upgradation of High Schools into Higher Secondary schools has been done here with the introduction of 10 plus 2 plus 3 system of education in India. As you know Sir, we could not introduce this system of education earlier as a result of which many of our talented students had been refused admission in Delhi and other universities. So, the Government of Assam decided that we must introduce 10 plus 2 plus 3 system of education here and so we have upgraded some High Schools into Higher Secondary Schools. Regarding the opening of more Polytechnics in Assam we had examined and we propose to open more Polytechnics in Assam during 7th Plan period. We have now made elaborate schemes to see that more Polytechnics can be set up in the different districts of Assam.

The plan allocation will come from the Government of India. Regarding the ITIs we have proposed to the Govt. of India because these are set up by the Govt. of India only and so it depends on the Govt. of India. The Government of India sets up ITIs in every districts and now with the creation of more districts in Assam, we have proposed to the Govt. of India to set up more ITIs.

Shri Muhammad Umaruddin—So far as the Polytechnic

and ITIs are concerned, these come under the Technical Education, but it appears here the ITI has come under the Labour department. In many States in India, ITIs come under Technical Education. There are teachers in ITI who remain stagnant in service and do not have scope for promotion. So, ITI and Polytechnic should come under Technical Education.

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister)—For admission in the Polytechnics, the minimum education differs from that of ITI, in ITI there are some trades for which a non-matriculate is eligible for admission. We have proposed to have more Vocational Institutions in Assam and that would go a long way in meeting the demands of our students.

Shri Md. Umaruddin—The ITI standard of education is based on the technical education programme in India and so the ITIs will have to depend on the Technical Education and not on the Labour department.

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister)—Sir, the ITIs are set up by the Govt. of India in the Labour department and so we cannot bring this under Educational Umbrella. These are managed at the State level. But the setting up of ITIs and even grants and other things come from the Govt. of India.

Shri Md. Umaruddin—Sir, I made a study. There are as many as 24 States of which 6 ITIs come under Labour Deptt. and others belong to Technical Education deptt.

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister)— We have no such information and we shall collect the same.

Undisposed Starred Questions dated 12-9-1984

বি: গোপাল বড়ো হাইস্কুলৰ খেল পথাৰ

শ্রীপূৰ্ণ বড়োৱে সুধিছে :

* ৭৯। মাননীয় বাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) ১৯৫৯ চনৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে গোপাল বড়ো হাইস্কুলৰ খেল পথাৰ হিচাবে ব্যৱহৃত হৈ অহা মাটিৰ এটা বৃহৎ অংশ হঠাৎ ভাৰতীয় বিমান নিগমক হস্তান্তৰ কৰি খেল পথাৰখন উচ্ছেদ কৰা হৈছে নেকি ?

(খ) যদি হৈছে, ১৯৭৩ চনত চৰকাৰে লোৱা আৰু ১৯৮১ চনত উচ্চতৰ মাধ্যমিক বিদ্যালয়লৈ উন্নিত কৰা এই শিক্ষানুষ্ঠানৰ স্বার্থত উচ্ছেদ বন্ধ কৰি উক্ত মাটিৰ স্থায়ী আৱৰ্তন দিয়া হবনে ?

শ্রীপত্নী বাহাছৰ চৌহান (ৰাজ্যিক বাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৭৯। (ক) চৰকাৰে দিশপুৰ গাঁৱৰ ২৩৬ নং দাগৰ ২ বিঘা চৰকাৰী মাটিহে ভাৰতীয় বিমান নিগমক যোৱা ১৯৮৪ চনৰ ১৩ ফেব্ৰুৱাৰী তাৰিখে হস্তান্তৰ কৰিবৰ বাবে হুকুম দিয়ে। গোপাল বড়ো চৰকাৰী স্কুলৰ খেল পথাৰৰ মাটি বিমান নিগমক দিয়া হোৱা নাই।

(খ) —এই প্ৰশ্ন মুঠে।

বি: প্ৰাইমাৰী শিক্ষকৰ নিযুক্তি

শ্রীমথুৰা ডেকাই সুধিছে :

* ৮০। মাননীয় শিক্ষা বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) চৰকাৰে যি ছহেজাব প্ৰাইমেৰী শিক্ষক নিযুক্তি দিবলৈ ঘোষণা কৰি-

ছিল উক্ত ছহেজাব শিক্ষক নিযুক্তি দিয়া হ'লনে ?

(খ) যদি নাই হোৱা, তেনেহলে প্ৰতি সমষ্টিত ১৩ জনকৈ শিক্ষক নিযুক্তি

দিয়াৰ পিচত বাকী নিযুক্তি দিয়া লগা চাকৰি কিমান থাকিল ?

(গ) বাকী বোৱা শিক্ষক নিয়োগ কৰাৰ বাবে দায়িত্ব কাক দিয়া হৈছে ?

(ঘ) এই সকলক নিয়োগ কৰাৰ পিচত এজনীয়া শিক্ষকৰ স্কুল আৰু কিমান থাকিব ?

শ্ৰীমুকুট শৰ্মা (শিক্ষা বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৮০। (ক)—উক্ত ছহেজাৰ শিক্ষকৰ ভিতৰত সাধাৰণ এলেকাৰ বাবে মঞ্জুৰ কৰা ১৭০০ শিক্ষকৰ ১৫৭৩ জনক নিযুক্তি দিবৰ বাবে প্ৰাৰ্থী বাছনি কৰিবলৈ নিৰ্দেশ পঠোৱা হৈছে । নিযুক্তি এতিয়ালৈ দিয়া হোৱা নাই ।

(খ)—সাধাৰণ এলেকাৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত ১২৭ টা আৰু জনজাতীয় উপ-পৰিকল্পনা এলেকাৰ বাবে সংৰক্ষিত ৩০০ পদ বাকী থাকিব ।

(গ)—জনজাতি উপ-পৰিকল্পনা এলেকাৰ ৩০০ পদৰ বাচনিৰ দায়িত্ব মহকুমা প্ৰাথমিক শিক্ষা উপদেষ্টা পৰিষদৰ হাতত নাস্ত কৰা হব । সাধাৰণ এলেকাৰ ১২৭ পদৰ বাচনি চৰকাৰে কৰিব ।

(ঘ)—এই ছহেজাৰ শিক্ষক নিযুক্তিৰ পিচত আৰু ৬,৩৯৮ খন এজনীয়া শিক্ষকৰ স্কুল থাকিব ।

Re : Agreement between Government and Assam State
Minor Irrigation Development Corporation

Shri Mohammed Ali asked :

*81: Will the Minister, Irrigation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Government did not sign an agreement with Assam State Minor Irrigation Development Corporation Ltd. for contract works worth about Rs. 95 lakhs.

(b) If so, why ?

Shri Zahirul Islam (Minister, Irrigation) replied :

81. (a)—It is not a fact that the Government did not Sign agreement. Because agreement for Rs. 78.00 lakhs have already been signed till to-day.

(b)—Does not arise.

UNSTARRED

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

(To which replies were laid on the Table)

Date : 12th September, 1984

Re : Registered Pharmacists

Shri Silvious Condpan asked :

7. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—
 - (a) The number of registered pharmacists in the State.
 - (b) The number of registered Pharmacists who have renewed their registration up to date ?
 - (c) When the two Institutes for Producing Pharmacists at Gauhati will start functioning ?
 - (d) When the Assam Pharmacy Council was last constituted ?

Dr. Bhumidhar Barman (Minister, Health) replied :

7. (a)—Total number of Pharmacists registered in the State is 5921.

- (b)—Total number of registered Pharmacists who have renewed registration will be 3252 up to 31st December, 1984.
- (c) The proposal to start Pharmacy Institutions at Silchar and Gauhati are under active consideration of Government.
- (d)—The present Assam Pharmacy Council was constituted last in the month of April, 1978.

বিঃ অতিৰিক্ত উপায়ুক্ত নিয়োগ

শ্ৰীঅলিত চন্দ্ৰ বড়োৱে সুধিছে :

৮। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

- (ক) অসম ভূমি ৰাজহ আইনৰ দশম অধ্যায়ৰ সংশোধিত বিধি ব্যৱস্থা অনুসৰি জন-জাতীয় অঞ্চলবোৰ বক্ষণা-বেক্ষণৰ কাৰণে ৬ জন অতিৰিক্ত উপায়ুক্ত নিয়োগ কৰা সঁচানে ?
- (খ) যদি সঁচা হয়, তেনেহলে কোন কোন জিলাত কাক নিয়োগ কৰা হ'ল ?
- (গ) এই নিয়োগ কাৰ্য্যৰ কাৰণে কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰে কিমান টকা মঞ্জুৰী দিছিল ?
- (ঘ) উল্লিখিত ৬ খন জিলাৰ বাহিৰে জন-জাতীয় আবেটনী বাকী জিলাবোৰত অতিৰিক্ত উপায়ুক্ত নিয়োগ কৰা হবনে ?
- (ঙ) যদি হয়, কেতিয়ালৈ হব ?

শ্ৰীপত্ম বাহাদুৰ চৌহান (ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৮। (ক)—সঁচা ।

(খ)—বৰ্ত্তমানে তলত দিয়া জিলাবোৰত অতিৰিক্ত উপায়ুক্ত নিয়োগ কৰা হৈছে ।

১। শ্ৰীমতী এচ, সেনগুপ্ত—গুৱাহাটী ।

২। শ্রী বি, চি, লহকৰ—গোৱালপাৰা ।

৩। শ্রী বি, পি, উপাধ্যায়—তেজপুৰ ।

৪। শ্রী পি, শৰ্মা—নগাওঁ ।

৫। শ্রী ডি, চি, পাঠক—ডিব্ৰুগড় ।

৬। শ্রী এম, এন, শৰ্মা. মঙ্গলদৈ ।

(গ)—এই নিয়োগ কাৰ্য্যৰ বাবে কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰৰ পৰা বিশেষ অনুদান পোৱা নাই । কিন্তু ইয়াৰ বাবে ৰাজ্যিক পৰিকল্পনা শিতানৰ পৰা ব্যয় হৈ আছে ।

(ঘ)—নিয়োগ কৰা হ'ব পাৰে ।

(ঙ)—চলিত বিত্তীয় বছৰৰ পৰা নিয়োগ আৰম্ভ হোৱাৰ সম্ভাৱনা আছে ।

Re : Orang Game Sanctuary

Shri Silvius Condpan asked :

9. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

Whether the Government has any scheme to Develop Orang Game Sanctuary as in the case of Kaziranga to attract tourists ?

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister) replied :

9. No.

There is no scheme to develop Orang Game Sanctuary as in the case of Kaziranga to attract tourist.

বি : অসমত জন-জাতীয় Belt and Block

শ্রীঅলিত চন্দ্ৰ বড়োৱে সুধিছে :

১০। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) বৰ্ত্তমান অসমত কিমানটা জন-জাতীয় Belt and Block আছে ?

(খ) প্রতিটো Belt or Block ক কি কি গাওঁ সামৰি লৈ গঠন কৰা হৈছে ? (প্রতিটো Belt or Block ত অন্তৰ্ভুক্ত গাওঁৰ নাম সহ তালিকাত দিয়ে যেন)

(গ) দক্ষিণ কামৰূপ Belt কিয় উঠোৱা হল জনাবনে ?

শ্ৰীপত্নম বাহাদুৰ চৌহান (বাজহ বিভাগৰ ৰাজ্যিক মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১০ (ক)—বৰ্তমান অসমত ৪২ টা Belt আৰু Block আছে ।

(খ)—প্রতিটো জন-জাতীয় Belt আৰু Block ৰ গাওঁৰ নাম তালিকা গাঠি দিয়া হল ।

(গ)—বৰ্তমানে চৰকাৰৰ হাতত এই বিষয়ে আৱশ্যকীয় তথ্য পাতি নাই । তথ্য পাতি সংগ্ৰহ কৰিবৰ চেষ্টা কৰি থকা হৈছে ।

SHORT NOTICE
QUESTION AND ANSWERS
Dated 12th September, 1984

Re : Channelisation of Borolia river:

Shri Barkat Ullah asked :

4. Will the Minister, Flood Control be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that Flood Control Department has started channelisation of Borolia river along the Bhoiratalajan to drain out water of Borbhag Mouza through North of Hajo channel ?

(b) If so, what measures have been taken to protect the people of Hajo constituency from devastation of flood ?

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatari (Minister, Flood Control) replied :

4, (a) Yes.

It is a fact that Flood Control Department has taken up a scheme to divert the Borolia river along Bhoiratalajan leading to Brahmaputra through Hajo channel. But it is not a fact that the scheme has been taken up to drain out the water—logging of Borbhag Mouza.

(b) The Scheme of diversion of Borolia has been drawn up to channelise Borolia river along the Hajo channel leading to Brahmaputra which was once abandoned course of river Borolia. By bifurcation of Borolia and Pagladiya river it is estimated that flood levels in rivers will be cut down and if necessary, embankments on both banks of Borolia from Bhoiratalajan will be extended right up to the Brahmaputra embankment to stop any spilling from Borolia river and stop spilling from Back water of river Brahmaputra.

শ্রীবৰকট উল্লাহ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে কৈছে যে বৰভাগ মৌজাৰ পৰা পানী উলিয়াই দিবলৈ এই চেনেলটো কৰা হৈছে। মই তেখেতক এটা কথা দৃষ্টি গোচৰ কৰিব বিচাৰিছো যে যেতিয়া স্বৰ্গীয় পৰমানন্দ গগৈ ডাঙৰীয়া ৰাজহ মন্ত্রী আছিল তেতিয়াই হাজো সমষ্টিৰ বাইজে লগ হৈ এই বান্ধটো বান্ধিছিল আৰু তাৰ দ্বাৰা হাজো অঞ্চলৰ বাইজ বানপানীৰ কবলৰ পৰা ৰক্ষা পৰিছিল। গতিকে এই বান্ধটো ভাঙি কিয় সেইপিনে চেনেলৰ কাম হাতত লয় মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে জনাব নে ?

শ্রীৰণেশ্বৰ নাৰায়ণ বসুমতাৰী (বাননিয়ন্ত্ৰণ মন্ত্ৰী) অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, পাগল-
দিয়া আৰু পুঠিমাৰী এই দুটা নদীৰে পৰিবেষ্টিত হাজো চাৰ্কোল আৰু
এই অঞ্চল আগৰে পৰা সম্পূৰ্ণ 'ল লাইং' অঞ্চল হিচাবেই আছে ।
এই অঞ্চলত বাৰিষা সদায় বানপানীয়ে বাইজক হাৰাশাস্তি কৰে ।
সেইকাৰণে এই অঞ্চলৰ বাইজক সকাহ দিবৰ বাবেই এটা নতুন পৰি-
কল্পনা হাতত লোৱা হৈছে । যিহেতু বৰলীয়া আৰু পুঠিমাৰী নদীৰ
পানী পাগলদিয়াত পৰি এই নদীৰ দুই কুল ওপচাই পেলাই বিস্তীৰ্ণ
অঞ্চলত বানপানীৰ সৃষ্টি কৰে সেই কাৰণেই এটা বিশেষ ব্যৱস্থা হাতত
লবলৈ বিচৰা হৈছে আৰু তাৰ কাৰণে ভৈৰাটোলাজানৰে বৰলীয়া
নদীৰ পানীভাগ উলিয়াই ব্ৰহ্মপুত্ৰত পেলাবলৈ যত্ন কৰা হৈছে । ইয়াৰ
দ্বাৰা পাগলদিয়া নদীৰ দুই কুল ওপচি পৰাটো বন্ধ হব আৰু বাইজও
বহু পৰিমাণে উপশম পাব ।

শ্রীৰবকট উল্লাহ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ কথাত একমত হব
নোৱাৰিলো । তেখেতে মোৰ প্ৰশ্নৰ শুদ্ধ উত্তৰ দিয়া নাই । মোৰ কথা
হৈছে ব্ৰহ্মপুত্ৰ নদীৰ পৰা যিখিনি পানী বেক কল' কৰে সেইখিনি
পানীয়েই হাজো অঞ্চলৰ বাইজৰ দুখ কুলাই পাচিয়ে নধৰা হয় । তেনে
ক্ষেত্ৰত হাজো অঞ্চলৰ বাইজে নিজে পৰিশ্ৰম কৰি, টকা পইচা খৰচ
কৰি ভৈৰাটোলাজানত যিটো বান্ধ বান্ধিছিল সেইটো কাটি বৰলীয়া
নদীৰ পানী হাজোৰ উত্তৰ চেনেলেৰে উলিয়াই দিবলৈ লোৱাত হাজো
অঞ্চলৰ বাইজ বেয়াকৈ ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হৈছে । ইয়াৰ বাবে কি ব্যৱস্থা লৈছে
জনাব নে ?

শ্রীৰণেশ্বৰ নাৰায়ণ বসুমতাৰী (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, নতুন যিটো পৰিকল্পনা
লোৱা হৈছে তাত এইটো সন্নিবিষ্ট কৰা হৈছে যে বৰলীয়া নদীৰ উভয়
পাৰে এমবেকমেণ্ট দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে আৰু পৰ্যায়ক্রমে এই এম-
বেকমেণ্ট ব্ৰহ্মপুত্ৰৰ মথাউৰিলৈকে দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হব । তেতিয়া
এই চেনেলৰ পানীৰ সোঁত বাঢ়িব আৰু বাইজো বানপানীৰ পৰা উপশম
হব ।

Re : Pending case of illegal mutation

Shri Bimal Gayari asked :

5. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state :

(a) Whether there is any pending case of illegal mutation and encroachment in contravention of the Chapter-X of Assam Land and Revenue Regulation Act in the Baska Revenue Circle ?

(b) If so, the number of cases registered for restoration of land to original patta holders in the Baska Circle ?

(c) Whether any of such cases has been disposed off ?

(d) If so, to whom land has been restored ?

(Please furnish the name of the person with home address)

Shri Keshab Chandra Gogoi (Minister, Revenue) replied :

5. (a) Yes, a total of 38 cases of illegal mutation and encroachment in contravention of the Chapter X of ALRR in Baska Revenue Circle are pending.

(b) 38 Nos. of cases have so far been registered in Baska Circle in this connection.

(c) No case has yet been disposed of finally but all are under process of disposal.

(d) At present question does not arise. This can be intimated after final disposal of the cases;

শ্রীবিমল গয়াৰী—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে কৈছে যে মাত্ৰ ৩৮ জন লোকৰ বিৰুদ্ধে বে-আইনি ভাবে ট্ৰাইবেল বেক্টত বেদখল কৰি থকাৰ গোচৰ চৰকাৰৰ হাতত বিচাৰাধীন হৈ আছে। কিন্তু মই নিজে

বাস্থ্য অঞ্চলৰ বিধায়ক হিচাবে এইটো জানো যে এই অঞ্চলত বহুতো বেদখলকাৰীক মাটিৰ পট্টন দিয়া হৈছে। এই বিষয়ে সেই অঞ্চলৰ বাইজে চৰকাৰৰ ওপৰত আপত্তি দৰ্শাই আহিছে। ইয়াৰ পৰিপ্ৰেক্ষিতত উপায়কক এই কামবোৰ চাবৰ বাবে দায়িত্ব দিয়া হৈছিল। সেইকাম ক্ৰমে হাতত লোৱা হৈছে মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাব নে ?

Shri Keshab Ch. Gogoi (Minister, Revenue)—In reply to Q. 5 (a), I have said the number is 38 cases.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Sir, Hon'ble Minister has said about 38 cases. But we want to know whether there are demands for more cases for clearing this area.

Shri Keshab Ch. Gogoi (Minister, Revenue)—Mr. Speaker, Sir, in Tribal Belts and Blocks there are people who encroached illegally. Therefore, Sir, we have already appointed Addl. Deputy Commissioner and we are also appointing S. D. C. for this work. Till then, restoring work will take some more time.

Re : Erosion between Bahari and Baghbar

Shri Barkat Ullah asked :

6. Will the Minister, Flood Control be pleased to state :

(a) Whether the Govt. is aware as to the large scale and continuous erosion of the River Brahmaputra on the North Bank in between Bahari and Baghbar wherein more than 34 villages have been eroded till to-day ?

(b) If so, whether the Govt. proposes to take up any

protection scheme of Boulder barrage in the above area within Barpeta District ?

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister, Flood Control) replied :

6. (a) Yes, Government is aware of the erosion.

(b) No.

শ্রীদানেশ আলি—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যোৱা ২ বছৰত বাহাৰি আৰু বাঘবৰৰ মাজত কিমানখন গাওঁ খহনীয়াত বিধ্বস্ত হৈছে সেইটো মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে ?

শ্রীৰণেন্দ্ৰ নাৰায়ণ বসুমতাৰী (মন্ত্ৰী)—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই এইটো স্বীকাৰ কৰিছো যে, বিভিন্ন সময়ত বিভিন্ন অঞ্চলত খহনীয়া চলি আছে। আমাৰ হাতত পৰা তথ্যমতে ৪০ 'স্কুয়াৰ কিলোমিটাৰ এৰিয়াৰ' অঞ্চলত প্ৰায় ৩৪ খনমান গাঁৱ এতিয়ালৈকে খহনীয়াত ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হৈছে।

শ্রীদানেশ আলি—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, ইমান এটা বিৰাট অঞ্চলত যিহেতুকে খহনীয়া হৈছে গতিকে তাত অস্তুতঃ কমেও ৩০ হেজাৰ মান লোক ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হবই। গতিকে এই লোক সকলৰ বক্ষণাবেক্ষণৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰে স্থায়ী কি ব্যৱস্থা হাতত লৈছে সেইটো মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে ?

শ্রীৰণেন্দ্ৰ নাৰায়ণ বসুমতাৰী (মন্ত্ৰী)—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যিটো খহনীয়া চলি আছে আৰু যত পানী বাগৰি খেতি-বাতি আদি নষ্ট কৰি আছে সেই বিলাক অঞ্চলত ইয়াৰ নিবাসয়ৰ কাৰণে মঠাউৰি আদি বন্ধাৰ কাম চলি আছে। ঠাই বিশেষে 'চেমি পাৰ্মেনেণ্ট' আঁচনি আদি লৈ গড়াখহনীয়া প্ৰতিৰোধৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে। 'বল্ডাৰ স্পাৰ' নিৰ্মাণ কৰি এটা 'চেমি পাৰ্মেনেণ্ট' আঁচনিত ২৮ লাখ টকাৰ এটা আঁচনি ১৯৮২ চনত সমাপ্ত হৈছে। ঠাই বিশেষে সময় সাপেক্ষে ব্যৱস্থা লোৱাৰ কাৰণে গড়াখহনীয়া বহুখিনি প্ৰতিৰোধ হৈছে আৰু ভৱিষ্যতেও এই খহনীয়া প্ৰতিৰোধ কৰিব পৰা হব। আমাৰ মাননীয় সদস্য জনে উল্লেখ কৰা কথা বিলাকৰ নিবাসয়ৰ ব্যৱস্থা সমূহৰ কথা মই মোৰ প্ৰশ্নোত্তৰত

উল্লেখ কৰিছোৱেই। কিন্তু যি ধৰণৰ ব্যৱস্থাই লোৱা নহওঁক কিয়, টকা-পইচাৰ নাটনিয়েই ইয়াৰ প্ৰধান অন্তৰায় হৈ আছে। যথেষ্ট পৰিমাণৰ টকা-পইচা নেথাকিলে স্থায়ী ভিত্তিত খহনীয়া প্ৰতিৰোধৰ ব্যৱস্থা লোৱাটো সম্ভৱপৰ নহয়।

Shri Barkat Ullah—Mr. Speaker, Sir, there is an erosion. 34 villages have already been eroded completely. Sir, Hon'ble Minister has expressed his inability to take up permanent protection work. May I know from the Hon'ble Minister why the matter has not been taken up with the Central Government to save the area ?

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister, Flood Control)—Mr. Speaker, Sir, we have already sent three schemes for permanent protection work and so far, Sir, not a single scheme has got clearance. We still hope, Sir, that clearance will come in case of one or two schemes. The matter is still under correspondence for three schemes viz. Palasbari, Howli and Moriholla. Sir, all these three schemes will cost about Rs. 35 crores taken together. Therefore, Sir, the Hon'ble Member's request for drawing up schemes for permanent protection work does not seem to be appropriate. So far, we have not received approval to our schemes sent to them earlier.

Shri Barkat Ullah—May I know from the Hon'ble Minister as to what is the reason for not according approval by the Central Government to our schemes ?

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister, Flood Control)—Sir, the main constraint is the finance. The

Central Government, according to the norms, have already approved scheme costing Rs. 15 crores because the Flood Control work in the Brahmaputra Valley is entirely central assistance, cent percent loan basis. Therefore, Sir, we cannot contemplate these three schemes for permanent protection work to be approved by the Central Government. Sir, I have said that the matter is under correspondence with the Central Govt. and we have reached the stage of being finalised; finalised in the sense that the scheme have to go to Central Water Commission. They will process it technically. Then they will sent the scheme to the Planning Commission for approval.

Shri Barkat Ullah—Sir, I fail to understand why the schemes could not be sent to the BFCB for approval.

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister, Flood Control)—Sir, I have already said that finance is the main constraint.

শ্রীদানেশ আলি—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে কোৱাৰ নিচিনাকৈ যদি টকা-পইচাৰ অভৱাৰ কাৰণে স্থায়ী বাধু আদি নিৰ্মাণ কৰিব নোৱাৰে তেতিয়াহলে, ফ্লাড্ কন্ট্ৰ'লৰ জৰিয়তে বন্দাৰ স্পাৰ দি সেই অঞ্চলবোৰ বন্ধা কৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে ?

শ্রীবগেন্দ্ৰ নাৰায়ণ বসুমতাৰী (মন্ত্রী)—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, চোৱা হব।

Re : Constitution of Sub-Committee

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary asked :

7. Will the Minister, W.P.T. & B.C. be pleased to state :

- (a) Whether it is a fact that a sub-Committee to look into the grievances of Plains tribals within Forest Reserves of Assam has been constituted by the Advisory Council for Welfare of Plains Tribes in Assam?
- (b) If so, the provisions thereof?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the Conservator of Forests and D.F.O. Rangiya did not co-operate between 20th and 23rd August, 1984 and if so, the reasons thereof?
- Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister, W.P.T. & B.C.) replied :

(a) Yes.

(b) It was constituted under Rule 18 of "The Advisory Council for the Welfare of the Scheduled Tribes living in the Plains Districts of Assam Rules-1967."

The terms and conditions of the Sub-Committee are—

- (i) The Committee will make spot varification as to the eviction/other harrasment given to the tribal inhabitants of the forest villages viz. cadestral villages and unclassified forest villages etc. with the help of the District/Sub divisional Revenue and Forest officials and project officers I.T.D.Ps.
- (ii) While making enquiries the Committee will invariably associate the local project Implementation Committee of the I.T.D.Ps and Sub-Committee Development Boards for ST (P).
- (c) The Tour Programme of the Sub-Committee from 16th

to 30th August/84 was communicated to all concerned D.Cs/S.D.Os/P.O., ITDPs/S.D.W.Os./D.F.Os by W. T. Message on 10.8.84. The C.C.F. was also requested to issue instructions to all concerned D.F.Os to render necessary assistance to the sub-committee on 10.8.84. The C.C.F. had accordingly issued a circular to all concerned D.F.Os requesting them to give full co-operation vide his letter No. FG.13/16/18 (A), dt 14.8.84.

The Sub-Committee has not yet submitted their report to the council and it is not known to this Department, whether any D.F.O. did not extend co-operation to the members of the Committee. If there is any D.F.O./Conservator of Forest who did not render co-operation, this will be looked into, but there is no complaint about such non-cooperation. Besides, the report of the sub-committee will be discussed in the Council and then only the final decision will be taken for recommendation to the Govt. for appropriate action.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Sir, whether the Advisory Council is a constitutional requirement ?

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister)—Sir, the State Govt. in consideration of the socio-economic problems of the Plain-tribals has passed the executive orders for the constitution of this Tribal Advisory Council. Sir, this Tribal Advisory Council has also constituted a Sub-committee for making spot verification etc. and the Hon'ble Member is also in the Sub-committee. Sir, I understand that the Sub-comm-

ittee have also visited some places. The report of the Sub-committee is not yet submitted. When the report will be submitted, we will certainly consider the recommendations of the Committee.

Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Sir, my question is not replied to. My question is whether the Advisory Council is the constitutional requirement ?

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister)—Sir, it is not a direct constitutional requirement.

3 Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Sir, whether the Hon'ble Minister is aware of the fact that the Conservator of Forests and D. F. O. Rangia did not cooperate with the Sub-committee. In view of this may I know from the Hon'ble Minister what action is contemplated by the Govt. in regard to the non-cooperating officers ?

Shri Ranendra Narayan Basumatary (Minister)—Sir, this is the first time the Hon'ble Member has brought this matter to my knowledge and to the notice of this August House. The C. C. F. has issued necessary instructions to all the Conservators of Forests and D. F. Os to cooperate and if any officer did not comply with the instructions, certainly action will be taken. But, Sir, this is the first time such a matter is brought to my knowledge. I will certainly enquire into the matter.

MISCELLANEOUS

Snri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I call

the attention of the Hon'ble Chief Minister to the Mass-rally on 5th September 1974 in all the predominantly Bodo inhabited areas relating to the role of assyiate official State language; then I also bring it to the notice of the Hon'ble Chief Minister that in Darang District teachers are in strike because they have not received their salaries for more then 3 months. Then, Sir, I also bring it to the Notice of the Hon'ble Chief Minister that at Tamulpur there is also a movement against the Principal of Tamulpur Higher Secondary School who was involved in a murder case and was suspended but later on he was reinstated on 3rd July last. This movement was started on 16th July but the Govt. have failed to respond to this public demand.

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Chief Minister)—Sir, as regards the first point raised by the Hon'ble Member, a Committee is enquiring into the matter and the report of the Committee is not yet made known to the Govt. when the report of the Committee will be given, we will surely communicate it to the people. There are two other points which will be replied by the respective Ministers.

STATEMENT BY MINISTER

Shri Mukut Sarma (Minister)—Sir, at the call of Manjraldoi District High School Teachers & Employees Association, the teachers and employees of Provinci-

alised High Schools of Mangaldoi Sub-Division have resorted to cease work from 1.9.84 to 5.9.84 as a protest against irregular payment of monthly salaries of teachers and employees. The Inspector of Schools, Sonitpur, Tezpur received the strike notice on 30.8.84.

The teachers and employees recorded their attendance in their respective institutions but abstained from taking up classes during this period. Besides, they also decided to boycott the Teachers' Day on 5.9.84.

The Inspector of Schools, Tezpur made an enquiry and consulted with Treasury Officer, Mangaldoi on 3.9.84. As per statement of Treasury Officer, Mangaldoi, the letter received LOC for two quarters i. e. from March/84 to May/84 and from June/84 to August/84 amounting to Rs. 49,21,942.66. These two L.C.Cs. were meant for 26 High and Higher Secondary School under Non-plan budget. But, there an excess payment of Rs. 10,45,780.87 upto 30.6.84. With this excess payment of salary, the Treasury Officer, Mangaldoi refused to pass the bills for July and August, 1984. The Treasury Officer, however agreed to pass the bill for July, 1984 on request of Inspector of Schools, Sonitpur.

The Inspector of Schools also met some representatives of Teachers' Association and requested them to withdraw the strike and observe Teachers' Day on 5.9.84.

The Inspector of Schools, Sonitpur put up a demand to D. P. I. for allotment of fund of Rs. 16,43.

040.16 on 20.8.84 for 22 schools under plan budget. The case is now under progress and the additional allotment will be made in a day or two. With the sanction of L. O. C. for the additional demand, the pay will be released to the teachers and employees soon.

Shri Luis Islari (Minister of State)—Mr. Speaker, Sir, the Principal, Tamulpur Higher Secondary School Shri K. N. Sarma and Shri Raghu Nath Kakati, Asstt. Teacher of the same school were arrested by Police in connection with Tamulpur P. S. case No. 4 (10) 80 u/s 302 I. P. C. (Murder of one Shri Umesh Boro) and consequently they were put under suspension vide Government letter No. (1) ESS. 10/81/4, dated 11.2.81 and (2) U/O. No. ESS. 19/81/6, dated 4.4.81 and D.P.I.'s letter No. G (B) Prov. 3/80/85, dated 25.2.81. The Judicial Magistrate, Nalbari (1st class) has since discharged the accused vide his verdict dated 11.6.84. The Inspector of Schools, K.D.C., Gauhati has reinstated the above mentioned persons under instruction of Government and D.P.I. vide letter Nos. ESS. 19/81/22, dated 29.6.84 and G (B) Prov. 3/80/134, dated 30.6.84 respectively. A second case came up against Shri K. N. Sarma, Principal, Tamulpur Higher Secondary School while he was under suspension. Shri Sarma had drawn scholarship money amounting to Rs. 15,484/- and arrear pay of teachers amounting to Rs. 17,666/- during 1979-80. These amounts were not disbursed by him. The Department after knowing the position directed the Principal to disburse the same immediately.

An audit report showed clearly that the amounts were diverted for some other school purpose without any authority. It has now been learnt from a report of the Inspector of Schools, KDC that after his recent reinstatement Shri K. N. Sarma has disbursed scholarships to 21 students out of 27. The rest 6 students are yet to turn up to collect their scholarships. The teachers have also been paid their arrears as reported.

However, a section of Tribal students are reported to have been agitating against the reinstatement of Shri K. N. Sarma on grounds of his past misdeeds. As reported by the I/S, K.D.C. a group of ex-students of the school been leading the agitation while the students of the school have remained passive on the whole. The last agitational action took place on 17.8.84 when a batch of 14 students tried to picket the Principal's office. But they were removed by the police soon, and there has been no agitation in the school since the.

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatary—How is it, in regard to point No. 3, that after reinstatement the principal could disburse Rs. 33,000/- from his own source?

Shri Luis Islari (Minister of State)—Since he was under suspension he was not in a position to disburse the amount: As soon as he was reinstated he got the money disbursed to the students and to the teachers.

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatari—The question is whether Rs. 33,000/- was disbursed from his own fund or from the school fund? It could not be in the school fund

because he misappropriated the amount and was suspended and he paid the amount after he was reinstated.

Shri Luis Islari (Minister of State)—He was suspended not because of the misappropriation, but on the ground of a murder case of one Umesh Boro.

Shri Hemen Das—I want one clarification. The principal was suspended; whether he could be allowed to keep the Government money in his own custody ?

Shri Luis Islari (Minister of State)—As a rule he cannot.

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatari—If as a rule he cannot how the Govt. allowed it and what was the Government doing ?

Shri Luis Islari (Minister of State)—Sir, since he was under suspension, as I stated earlier, he was not in a position to disburse the money. As soon as he was reinstated the money was disbursed.

Shri Hemen Das—My point is, the principal was suspended, but the office of the principal was not suspended. So whoever was in-charge he could have disbursed the amount had the money been there. My point is, as per report it is clear that during the period of his suspension the entire amount was with that person and not with the principal. How Government could allow a suspended person to keep the Government money in his own custody ?

Shri Luis Islari (Minister of State)—When the principal was suspended certainly there was another acting

principal. Perhaps he did not want to involve himself in the case. So he did not disburse the money.

Shri Benoy Kumar Basumatari—It was detected that the principal had misappropriated Rs. 33,153.73. When it was misappropriated money this was known that it was not in the school fund. So immediately after reinstatement how could he get this amount for disbursement?

Shri Luis Islari (Minister of State)—Whether he misappropriated the money we will make an enquiry.

Shri Heinen Das—Yes, say that.

Announcement from the Chair

Mr. Speaker—Now item No. 2. Member is absent.

(Item No. 2 was not put, member being absent.)

Now I have some announcements.

I have to announce the result of election to the Committee on Public Undertakings. The number of candidate being equal to the number to be elected, Shri Silvius Condpan has been declared elected unanimously to the Committee on Public Undertakings.

The newly elected member shall hold office for the remaining unexpired period of the term of the Committee.

Under Rule 251 of the Rules Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly, I nominate Shri Joinal Abedin, M.L.A. and Shri Ramesh

Phukan, M.L.A. to the Committee on Subordinate Legislation to fill up the vacancies caused due to appointment of Shri Jiba Kanta Gogoi and Shri Mathius Tudu Members of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation as Ministers of State. The newly nominated members will function for the remaining unexpired period of the term of the Committee.

I have to announce the result of the election to the Committee on Welfare of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. There were 4 (four) contestants for the election to the Committee which was held in Room No: 3 of the Assembly Building at Dispur on 11th September, 1984.

The following members have been declared to have been duly elected to the Committee on Welfare of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.

1. Shri Durgeswar Patir
2. Shri Subal Chandra Das

The newly elected Members shall hold office for the remaining unexpired period of the term of the Committee.

I have to announce the result of election to the Assam State Housing Board: The number of candidate being equal to the number of Member to be elected, Shri Debesh Chakrabarty has been declared elected unanimously to the Assam State Housing Board.

How item No: 3

LAYING OF REPORTS

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to lay the Report of the Eighth Finance Commission 1984.

Sir, as sufficient number of copies of the Report is not available one copy of the Report is laid on the Table of the House. A short note highlighting the recommendations of the 8th Finance Commission is circulated to the Members for their kind perusal.

Shri Ragunath Pamegam—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to lay the Annual Report, 1982-83 of the Assam Khadi and village Industries Board.

Shri Hemen Das—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to present the Thirty-third Report of the Public Accounts Committee on the Reports of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the years 1974-75, 1975-76, 1976-77, 1977-78, 1978-1979, 1979-80, 1980-81 (Revenue Receipts) relating to the Forest Department, Government of Assam.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

Mr. Speaker—Here is a message from the Governor.

“Raj Bhavan Shillong

Under the provision of 702 (3) of the Constitution of India. I, Bhishma Narain Singh, Governor of Assam

recommend that the Assam Electricity Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984 be taken for consideration by the Assam Lagislative Assembly.

SD/-

(Bhishma Narain Singh)

GOVERNOR OF ASSAM.

Shri Md. Idris—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Electricity Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984 be taken into consideration.

*শ্ৰীহেয়েন দাস—চাব, এই বিলখনৰ বিবোধীতা কৰিছো। ষ্টেটমেন্ট এণ্ড বিজনচত কোৱা হৈছে।

As per estimate made, our State is likely to lose above Rs. 2 crores annually due to abolition of excise duty on electricity. In order to compensate this loss of revenue, it is proposed to increase the existing rate of electricity duty of 3 paise per unit to 5 paise per unit making it equal to the combined incidence of Central Excise Duty and existing electricity duty. The increase is likely to yield an amount of Rs. 70 lakhs to the State without any increase in the existing electricity.

ইয়াত কোৱা হৈছে তিনি পইচাৰ ঠাইত দুই পইচালৈ বৃদ্ধি কৰা হৈছে। ৭০ লাখ টকা বছৰেকত আয় হব। এই ৭০ লাখ টকা কৰ পৰা কেনেকৈ পাব। শূণ্যৰ পৰা এই ৭০ লাখ টকা নাহে। এই টকাখিনি পোৱাৰ উৎস কি? এই টকাখিনি গ্ৰাহকৰ পৰা নিব লাগিব। এই দুদিনৰ সময়ত জনসাধাৰণৰ পৰা ৭০ লাখ টকা সংগ্ৰহ কৰাটো

কোনোমতেই সমর্থন কৰিব নোৱাৰো। আজি কেন্দ্ৰৰ পৰা বিভিন্ন শিতানত মঞ্জুৰী অনা হৈছে আৰু বিভিন্ন বিভাগৰ কাম কাজ চলিছে। আমাৰ বিদ্যুৎ বিভাগ এনেই পিচপৰা। বিদ্যুৎ ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিবলৈ শিকা নাই। তেনেদৰে যদি বিদ্যুতৰ দাম বাঢ়ি যায় তেন্তে কিছু পৰিমাণে হলেও অগ্রগতিত বাধা পৰাটো অসম্ভৱ নহয়। মূল্য বৃদ্ধি নঘটিব বুলি কোৱা হৈছে যদিও প্ৰকৃত অৰ্থত মূল্য বৃদ্ধি হব। এই মূল্য বৃদ্ধি কোনোমতে সমর্থন কৰিব নোৱাৰো।

শ্ৰীমথুৰা ডেকা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই যিখন ইলেক্ট্ৰিচিটিৰ বিল আনিছে তাত কৰ বৃদ্ধিৰ জৰিয়তে ৭০ লাখ লাভ হ'ব বুলি কোৱা হৈছে। মই বিত্তমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ক সুধিব খোজো আজি আমাৰ গাওঁবিলাকৰ পৰিবেশটো কি? গাঁৱত লাইট কেনেকৈ জ্বল? দিনটোত চাৰি পাচবাৰ লাইট জ্বলে আৰু বন্ধ হয়। ইয়াৰ ফলত বাৰবিলাক ফিউজ হৈ যায়। এই বাৰ বিলাক কিনাৰ পইচা কোনে দিব? গাওঁবিলাকত বিজুলি যোগান ধৰিব পৰা নাই আৰু বাইজেও গ্ৰহণ কৰিবলৈ সমৰ্থন হৈ উঠা নাই। গতিকে দাম বঢ়াই দিলে আৰু পিচুৱাই যাব। গতিকে পাৰিলে আগৰ হাৰতকৈ অলপ বেছাই দিয়ক। বাইজৰ বিপৰ্যায়। ইলেক্ট্ৰিচিটিৰ যিটো বিপৰ্যায় ঘটি আছে সেইবিলাক অনুসন্ধান কৰিলে টকা বঢ়াব নালাগে। ইলেক্ট্ৰিচিয়ান বিলাকে বিদাৰ আদি বিদ্যুৎ লৈ যোৱাৰ পিচত মিটাৰ বিলাক বন্ধ কৰি থয়। আকৌ বিদাৰ অহাৰ লগে লগে খুলি থয়। ফলত যিমান ইউনিট উঠিব লাগিছিল তাতকৈ কম উঠে। গতিকে এই চুৰি কাৰবাৰ বিলাক বন্ধ কৰক। এই বিলাক বন্ধ নকৰিলে টেক্স বঢ়োৱাৰ প্ৰয়োজন নহ'ব। প্ৰথমতে আমাৰ সমুদায় গাঁৱত লাইট দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰক। তাৰ পিচত যেনেকৈ নিয়ে তেনেকৈ নিব। বিদ্যুৎ বিভাগৰ বিজুতিবিলাক বন্ধ কৰিলে সহজ হ'ব। গতিকে বিত্তমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ক অনুৰোধ কৰিছো এই বিলখন উত্থাপন কৰিব নালাগে। অনুসন্ধান কৰিলে ৭০ লাখতকৈ বেছি টকা আহিব। ইয়াকে কৈ সামৰণি মাৰিলো।

শ্রীমহম্মদ ইব্রিহ (মন্ত্রী)—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় বন্ধু দাস ডাঙৰীয়া আৰু ডেকা ডাঙৰীয়াই যিখিনি কথা আমাৰ এই বিলখনৰ সম্পৰ্কে কৈছে এই গোটেইখিনি কথাত প্ৰায় অলপমান তুল বুজাবুজি হৈছে। এই যিখন বিল মই আনিছো তাত আমাৰ যি তিনি পইচা দিউটি আছিল তাত পাচ পইচা কৰিব খুজিছো। এই কথাখিনি আলোচনা কৰাৰ উদ্দেশ্য হৈছে যে, আৰু পৰিষ্কাৰ কৰি কৈ দিয়া হৈছে যে, চেণ্টাৰত একচাইজ দিউটি আছিল ২ পইচা আৰু আমাৰ ষ্টেটত আছিল ৩ পইচা। এই দুইটা মিলি ৫ পইচা হ'ল। এই দুইটা ইলেক্-ট্ৰিচটি বৰ্ডে আদায় কৰি দিয়ে। এইটো নিয়ম সলনি কৰিবলৈ আমি প্ৰস্তাৱ লোৱা নাই। এইটো ইলেক্টিচিটি বৰ্ডেহে পাচ কৰিব লাগিব। আমি যিটো ইলেক্টিচিটি হিচাবে মিটাৰ লগাও সেই হিচাবে আমি পাৰ ইউনিট ৩ পইচা কৰি দিব লাগে। আৰু এই ধৰণে ১০০ ইউনিট ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিলে ৩ টকা হব। আগতে আমি ৩ পইচা পাইছিলো আৰু চেণ্টাৰে ২ পইচা পাইছিল। আৰু চেণ্টাৰে পোৱা একচাইজ দিউটতো আমাক ফিৰাই দিয়ে। আমি এই সংক্ৰান্তত ২ কোটিমান টকা পাইছো। এইদৰে আমি প্ৰতি বছৰে পাই আছো। কিন্তু যি ২ পইচা আমি চেণ্টাৰক একচাইজ দিউটি দি আছো, সেইটো অহা অক্টোবৰ মাহৰ ১ তাৰিখৰ পৰা চেণ্টাৰক নিদি আমাৰ ইয়াত লোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে। ইয়াত বাইজৰ কোনো ধৰণৰ অসুবিধা নহয়। এইটো বৃদ্ধিও হোৱা নাই আৰু কমাও নাই। এইদৰে এই টকা আমি ললে লাভবান নহও বৰঞ্চ আমাৰ লোকচানহে হব। কাৰণ আমি চেণ্টাৰৰ পৰা ২ কোটি কৰি টকা পাই আছিলো। আমি ভবা মতে আমি এতিয়াৰ পৰা ৭০ লাখমান টকাহে পাম। ইলেক্টিচিটিৰ জেনাৰেটৰ ওপৰত নিৰ্ভৰ কৰিছে কিমান মেগাৱাট হব তাৰ ওপৰতহে ২ পইচা কৰি লোৱা হব। তাৰোপৰি বাইজে ব্যৱহাৰ কৰাৰ ওপৰতহে এই টকা পোৱা হব। গতিকে জেনাৰেটৰ আৰু কনজুমাৰৰ মাজত এটা পাৰ্থক্য থাকিব। তাৰোপৰি আমাৰ বহুত মানুহক বেহাই দিয়া হৈছে। এই সম্পৰ্কত আমি নটিকিফিকেশ্বন কৰা হৈছে। গতিকে এই ৭০ লাখ টকা

পাম বুলি ভাবিছো। আমি ইলেক্টিসিটিৰ বেট বঢ়াই দিয়াৰ বাবে কোনো ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা নাই। বেট একে থাকিব বঢ়া নাই। ইমান দিনে একচাইজ দিউটি ভাৰত চৰকাৰে ২ পইচা লৈ আছিল। গতিকেই মই ভাবো যে, এই বিলখন বিবেচনা কৰাত একো আপত্তি থকাৰ কাৰণ নাই।

Mr. Speaker—Now I put the Motion before the august House that The Assam Electricity Duty (Amendment) Bill, 1984 be taken into consideration.

(The motion was passed by the House)

Now Item No. 6 (b). There is an amendment to Clause 2 of the Bill in the name of Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary. Now Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary will move.

*Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Sir, I beg to move that after the proposed Sub-Section (1) (c) to clause 2, the following proviso shall be inserted as follows—

“Provided that not more than 3 Paise per unit shall be paid for the consumption or sale of energy which is consumed by or sold to the agriculturists for the purpose of irrigation and to the small scale industries.”

Sir, we have already seen in the Statement of objects & Reasons that there is an uncovered amount of rupees one crore and thirty lakh because it is clearly stated that the State is likely to lose about Rs. 2 crores and in place of Rs. 2 crores the Govt. now proposes to bring in actually seventy lakhs of

rupees. So this amount of Rs. 130 lakhs are uncovered amount. While replying to the objection, the Hon. Minister of Finance has said that the State Govt. was paid back by the Central Govt. the amount of Central Excise Duty collected at the source of generation of electricity and there is a difference in the amount of State Electricity Duty which is collected from consumers. Where is a difference in the amounts collected from the source of generation and that from the source of consumption. Now when the power comes from the source to the consumers, by this transfer Govt. has already subsidised and unspecified amount—will lose, and this has already been admitted. Now if the Central Govt. has taxed the source of generation which in fact they paid back to the State amounting to Rs. 2 crores, the State Govt. will now get Rs. 70 lakhs as sought by this bill in replacement of the Central Excise Duty. Then the question is as per the explanation, how it is proposed to increase the existing rate of electricity duty from 3 to 5 paise per unit making it equal to Rs. 130 lakhs. Then this loss of power from the source of generation to the consumption is itself... (interruption).

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—It is not the loss due to the loss of power from generation to consumption. It is due to exemptions we have granted. We have large number of exemptions.

*Shri Binoy Kumar Basumatary—Well, even if large number

*Speech not corrected

of exemptions are granted, then the difference of Rs. 130 lakhs does not meet the cost of subsidy. Govt. have allowed subsidy. Now if that be the case my amendment is that Govt. should forego this Rs. 70 lakhs as a token to the agriculturists and to the small scale industries to give them some sort of encouragement. Why cannot the State forego Rs. 130 lakhs? They can as well forego this Rs. 70 lakhs.

Sir, In Assam we are dependent on weather whereas in other advanced States in our country like U. P. and Punjab the agriculturists are not solely dependent on weather. They have made their States so flourishing, they have ensured that the irrigation facilities are lying at their fingertips. There are innumerable lines drawn across the fields in all other States and the farmers do not have to depend on the weather. Whenever the farmer wants water for irrigation they just have to press a button. This is an encouraging feature in those States. Now, Sir, in Assam which has the most fertile land probably in the entire country, we are dependent on the weather. When the Govt. has started so many good schemes why cannot they also start a new feature of encouragement to the agriculturists by eliminating this small amount of tax, this 2 paise that they wish to levy. I think it is the duty of the Govt. to encourage the farmers and make available power to them and allow the farmers to use power as and when they require. Similar is the

case with small scale industries and I do not wish to speak elaborately on small scale industries because we know Assam has potential but we are lacking in using it. As far as I remember there was a survey conducted some years back about the hydro-electric potential of north east India and it was found that the hydro-electric potential in north east India is the best in the entire country and if this potential is tapped not only the north east but even to such States as U.P. and Bihar the Govt. Assam can sell power. Now this Govt. has failed to tap this source of power and with this Bill it shows that it is trying to be dependent on whatever power that is now available. Now, if the Govt. really wishes to turn Assam into a granary then Sir, we must make this power available for the farmers and it should be the Govt. to lead the way. I therefore move this amend that by collecting this petty amount of Rs. 70 lakhs in place of Rs. 2 crores, it does not appear to be a sound argument, when they have already decided to forego Rs. 130 lakhs, I propose to move this amendment that the entire amount should be foregone in favour of agriculturists and small scale industrialists.

* Shri Mohammad Idris (Minister)—Sir, I wish my hon'ble friend had taken a little bit of labour in going through the existing Act and Rules of ours, that is the Assam Electricity Duty Act, 1964 and Assam Electricity Duty Rules 1964. Sir, as per provision of the Acts and

* Speech not corrected.

Rules this amendment could be disposed of by a line only. Sir, my friend has brought this amendment under section 3 but this I cannot accept because Section 3 is a charging section. The provision is only to charge, to bring money by taxation and if I want to give any exemption it should be under Section 12. I will read Sir; Section 12—Power to Exempt. That is the fit section under which exemption should be sought. So, it is already there, only the Govt. should issue a notification and give exemption to whosoever it likes. My friend is interested about agriculturists and small scale industrialists. Govt. has already considered those two things. They are exempting upto 50,000 units and only 1 paise per unit we charge. Now with the levy of this 2 paise it will be 3 paise in case of small scale industries. Agriculture is already exempted. Anybody drawing power for use of agriculture, that means for irrigation, he is exempted. Now with this my friend additional levy it will be 3 paise but I assure this is engaging the attention of the Govt. and the entire problem will be reviewed by the Govt. and a decision will be taken very soon. Therefore, I hope my hon'ble friend, his purpose was to seek some sort of benefit for the agriculturists, who are fully protected, and small scale industrialists, where some sort of relief is already there and for further relief Govt. is thinking. Sir, one point he has said does not sound nice to think or consider Rs. 70 lakhs to be nothing for the State. As a Fin-

ance Minister to me a paisa is also very important for the State and Rs. 70 lakhs is not a small amount. I have found out via media only for Rs. 70 lakhs. If I read out the Speech of the Union Finance Minister, the doubts of my friend will be removed. This he delivered during the last Budget Session of the Parliament. "Hon'ble Members would recall that excise duty was levied on electricity as a revenue measure in the 1978 Budget. The net proceeds from the duty collected on electricity are wholly distributed to the States. It is proposed to abolish the excise duty on electricity leaving it to the State Govts. to tap this source, to whatever extent and in whatever manner they like. This will give to the States one more area for resource mobilisation. To give the State some time to take appropriate action this abolition will be effective from 1st October, 1984."

Therefore Sir, resource mobilisation is very important factor and along with it Rs. 70 lakhs is also very important. There is an apprehension in the mind of my friend Shri Das that we are increasing the burden of the consumers by this. I would like to say that we are not increasing the burden in any way. If the Electricity Board in their sphere declares an increase in their tariff that is a different thing. But for the time being I hope there is no such fear. I hope this will satisfy him and he will be pleased to withdraw his amendment.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatary—The hon. Minister has tried to say that my amendment is inappropriate in relation to this Section. I do not agree with him. Sir, Govt. has brought an amendment to a certain Section and my amendment is a counter to whatever they sought by an amendment and therefore, my amendment is absolutely in order. The Finance Minister also remarked that Section 12 provides for exception. Now, if he wants to put the whole Act under discussion, I have an objection. I want to ask the Finance Minister how did they put this clause 12 as an exception clause. There must be a door since everything is interconnected. My point is that without proviso, we cannot make this exemption. Therefore Sir, I say that my amendment is mitter redundant nor improper.

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, in the face of the specific provision in the Act which I have referred to, I do not know how he could arrive at this conclusion. I have said that this amendment is not acceptable to the House as there is such provision in the main Act. I have read out the specific provision of the Act. Sir, his purpose will be served, as to the giving relief to the Agriculturists by the Act as per its provision: They are to pay 1 paisa upto 15,000 units of consumption. I think Sir, in view of this he should withdraw his amendment.

Shri Benoy Kr. Basumatary—It is alright, I might withdraw

but the way the amendment has been brought is not tolerable to us. I am not happy with it. What is the use of collecting this two paise—why not Rs. 2 crores then? I am not going to withdraw Sir.

Mr. Speaker—So, I put that after the proposed sub-section 1(c) to clause 2, the following proviso shall be inserted;

“Provided that not more than 3 paise per unit shall be paid for the consumption or sale of energy which is consumed by or sold to agriculturists for the purpose of irrigation and to the small scale industries”.

(The amendment is lost)

Now, I put clause 2. Clause 2 does form part of the Bill.

(The motion is adopted)

I put then, Clause 1, preamble, enacting formula and short title do form part of the Bill.

(The motion is adopted).

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Electricity Duty (Amendment) Bill 1984 be passed.

Mr. Speaker—I put motion before the House—that the Assam Electricity Duty (Amendment) Bill 1984 be passed.

(The motion is passed, the Bill is passed)

The House stands adjournment sine die.

Adjournment

The House rose at 12-30 p. m. and stood adjourned sine die.

Dated : Dispur
The 12th September, 1984

P. D. Barua
Secretary,
Assam Legislative Assembly