



Proceedings of the Tenth Session of the First Assam Legislative Assembly assembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935

The Assembly met in the Assembly Chamber at 11 a.m., on Saturday,
the 13th December 1941

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr. Rananta Kumar Das, Speaker in the Chair, the ten Hon'ble Ministers and ninety-two members.

Discussion regarding disposal of the business of the day—(i) presentation of authenticated schedule of authorised expenditure in relation to supplementary demands for grants for 1941-42, (ii) adjournment motion on account of police assault on a students' procession at Gauhati and (iii) no-confidence motions against the Ministry and Hon'ble Speaker's ruling thereto.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Hon'ble members know that no business has been fixed for to-day in view of the fact that the Hon'ble Premier declared the other day that he would not transact any business in the House on the ground that he would tender his resignation. But one item of business has been placed on the agenda and that is the presentation of the authenticated schedule* of authorised expenditure in relation to supplementary demands for grants for the year 1941-42. I hope the hon. members have got copies of that schedule placed on their table.

There are some motions of no-confidence in the Ministry fixed for to-day and those motions do not constitute the ordinary business of the day: they are extraordinary business and I hope the hon. members have got copies of those motions. They are to be taken up at 3 p.m. There is also the notice of an adjournment motion on Gauhati incident pending to be disposed of to-day.

There is also another no-confidence motion tabled for to-day by Srijut Ram Nath Das. But before I take up this motion, I would like to hear the Hon'ble Premier as to what he has got to say with regard to the resignation of his office, which would be in effect the resignation of the entire Ministry.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I told the House that on account of the resignation of one of my Colleagues from the Ministry, I took it as the correct constitutional procedure that the Ministry as a whole ought to resign. Pursuant to that position, I said that His Excellency the Governor was expected at Shillong on the 12th and I thought of tendering the resignation of the entire Cabinet personally to him on that day. You were, therefore, pleased to adjourn the House for these three days and summoned it for to-day and we all hoped that the resignation would have been tendered and accepted by His Excellency by this time. Unfortunately, however, in spite of telegraphic messages His Excellency the Governor could not be reached as he was touring right in the interior and he is not expected to reach Shillong before the 15th.

In order to implement my promise here, I have already placed my resignation letter to His Excellency in the hands of the Chief Secretary yesterday. The position remains the same, Sir. The circumstances which elicited from you the ruling that the House should be adjourned without

* See Appendix N

Matthew the Apostle and the First Christian
Teacher of the Gentiles. I have now given you a sketch
of the life of the Master of men, and I now proceed to
the second, or rather the Third Part of my subject.
Selections from the New Testament.
The following Selections are taken from the New

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER
Gentlemen's Secretary
The Hon'ble Member for

The Hon'ble Maulavi Sayid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA
far as the Attorney General concerned, the Government of India,
Chief Secretary, and I have been requested to forward
the hands of the Chief Secretary.

Another method is that he can issue a letter of interpretation after another letter. It is common, however, for the first letter to contain the interpretation and the second letter to contain the order. Therefore, if you receive a letter dated January 2, 1950, which contains an interpretation of a provision of the contract, and another letter dated January 15, 1950, which contains an order, it is safe to assume that the interpretation letter and the order are attached to the interpretation letter.

Mr. BAIDYANATH MOOKERJEE — On the 2nd of October, 1886, he may be found at the premises of **Fraser & Sons**, 1, New Bond Street, London, W.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Sayid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLAH have said that I read it as the right constitutional practice. I request resignation of the entire Cabinet.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: So far as the subject of the House¹⁶ concerned, I want to make this distinction that there is an agitation now of less importance than the educational question, that should have been taken up by the House long ago. The agitation that is going on regarding the student affair at Calcutta has been much too serious for us to be merely hearing from time to time that the Martin government of that nature, without coming to a discussion of the subject-matter. I already made my position very clear on the last occasion, and that is that we on this side were not taking this matter as party politics, that the interests of the students were far too great and far too serious a matter for us to be making it a ground for political ends. I had expected that the Hon'ble Premier could do something in the meanwhile to relieve the situation. I therefore, consider it necessary that, at any rate, I should be permitted to make a statement before this House in regard to this important matter and then I would earnestly request the Premier to say what he has got to say about the whole situation. I would repeat my request to the Hon'ble Premier to say that he might still do something to settle this affair. This agitation has not subsided; indeed it has spread throughout the whole of India. It appears to me to be ridiculous that we sit here when we know we could settle the matter and we are not doing our duty.

*^{**}That this Assembly do now adjourn to discuss a matter of urgent public importance and of very recent occurrence, to wit, the indiscriminate and brutal assault committed by an armed police force by the command of and personally by the Deputy Commissioner in Kamrup upon a large body of students including women and children while proceeding in peaceful and non-violent manner along a narrow public road in Gauhati town in the forenoon of Saturday, the 6th December 1941,²²

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Does the hon. Leader of the Opposition want to know what the Hon'ble Premier has done? He gave some assurance the other day as to what he would do in regard to the situation in Gauhati.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: I actually want to place what I have done in regard to it and what I propose to suggest to the Hon'ble Premier personally. The House might also be enlightened as to what the Inspector-General of Police has done in this matter. I understand the Director of Public Instruction also went there and had made certain enquiries, and if on the basis of this there are real grounds in which the demands of the students can be met, then, I think, the situation can be remedied. If, therefore, you will kindly allow me to make a statement, I will proceed with it.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Very well, the hon. member may proceed with his statement.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: I am not very much in the habit of writing speeches, but on the present occasion, in order to take the trust of the House, I want to read from a written statement.

Mr. BAIDYANATH MOOKERJEE: On a point of information, Sir, will the Hon'ble Premier make a statement on the basis of the result of the enquiry that he has already received?

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Let Mr. Bardoloi make his statement first.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: On Saturday the 6th December soon after 4 p.m., when our Assembly broke, I received three wires one after the other from the following institutions and gentlemen—the Students' Association, Gauhati Bar Library, and Srijut Hem Chandra Barua, ex-President of the Assam Provincial Congress Committee all reporting of an attack with lathis and bayonets upon a peaceful procession of students by the police and the Deputy Commissioner resulting in injury of over 30 students including girls and small boys. The telegram from Srijut Hem Chandra Barua informed that the Deputy Commissioner of Kamrup himself began to inform that the Deputy Commissioner of Kamrup himself began to apprise him of the situation; but having failed to do so, I sent a written letter to him by about 6 p.m., and received back a reply from him from the Shillong Club regretting the incident and telling me that he proposed to send the Inspector-General of Police for enquiry. In the meantime I received more telegrams and was further informed on the 'phone that the situation was even worse than what was reported to be in the telegrams and that the number of injured would be nearing 40 and students attacked would be near about 200. I therefore decided to start for Gauhati that night, but before I actually started I wrote to the Premier again that I was starting for Gauhati and suggested if he could send some one who might take a detached view of the things. Accompanied by Srijut Omeo Kumar Das, M. L. A. and Srijut Beliram Das, M. L. A. we reached Gauhati late at night, and we took immediate steps to acquaint ourselves with the facts by asking Dr. Bhupaneswar Borooh, Srijut Hem Chandra Barua and some students who had sent telephonic and telegraphic communications to us. The gravity of the situation must have been responsible for bringing down to Gauhati early on the 7th morning Mr. A. K. Chanda, Deputy Leader of the Congress Party, Mr. Baidyanath Mookerjee, Leader of the Independent Party and Srijut Debeshwar Mookerjee, Leader of the Congress Party. We proceeded to Sarmah, Chief Whip of the Congress Party. We proceeded to

enquire into the incident at 7.45 a.m. and went to the place of occurrence, old Curzon Hall, now a part of the College. We also visited the Police Department, in which place the first part of the occurrence took place. We met a large number of students as also the Professor of History, Dr. Dada Bhawan Sarkar all of whom we questioned in detail regarding the occurrence. We then proceeded by the very road by which the girls had come. The students was said to have been proceeding till we reached the end of the road where the occurrence was alleged to have taken place. We examined about 15 or 16 persons both men and women of the locality to ascertain the actual state of affairs. We also met a large number of the students who were present there to show the actual scene of occurrence as far as possible. The Deputy Commissioner assaulted them freely, the police beat up the students fell down due to attack by the armed police with bayonet and lathi, and also the places where the girls fell down after receipt of sudden attack. They showed us how the road ends near a dead end of armed police with a view to prevent escape of the students and to cause panic the girls and some boys of the schools managed to escape through a filthy locality and to the Shillong Road.

We then proceeded to the Hospital where we found four injured students, two or three of whom had complained of having been beaten by the Deputy Commissioner with a stick which he carried, above his shoulder. Narayan Das was found to be in dangerous condition suffering from concussion of brain as the doctor told us. We also saw a number of students, one of whom is a boy of 13, son of Srijit Tirtha Nath Phukan, student of the Earle Law College, who had multiple injuries in his body and lost his hand. We met the doctors Bhupaneswar Barua, K. Barua, S. N. Das and Kar who rendered first aid to the injured. We also examined some students in the Girls' Mission Hostel in Chittibati where the Lady Superintendent testified to the fact that besides the students of her hostel, a number of girls of the College had entered into Chittibati compound in prime through a short cut from the place of occurrence. We met and talked to many gentlemen including the Secretary of the Bar Association, Mr. H. K. Lahiri and Rai Bahadur Monmohan Lahiri to judge the feelings of the public over the matter.

As a result of this inquiry which took us full 7 hours, we came to the following inference. That on the afternoon previous to the date of occurrence, some students of the Cotton College had some altercation with Mrs. R. Das, wife of Dr. J.C. Das, regarding entry of certain girls whom she had taken along with her to a show which was organised for the purpose of aid in war. It is said that some students who picketed entry into the enclosure protested to Mrs. Das for bringing the girls whereupon some hot words passed between Mrs. Das and these students.

In the mean time, in the College itself, a controversy was going on between the Principal and the science students of the Cotton College for the former closing the practical science classes, with a view to organize a demonstration for the benefit of the visitors of the show that was going on in the Judge's Court field.

The students with apparent reasons pointed out that as it affected their studies it should be closed. The Principal, it is understood, agreed to this. In spite of this, however, both the Deputy Commissioner and Dr. J. C. Das with his wife were seen by the students to have entered into the old Curzon

Half an hour after the scientific demonstrations were being held, After noon Dr. Das and Mrs. Das were seen coming out of the old Garrison Hall and was about to pass by on his car when some students cried out "Shame ! Dr. Das then got down from his car, called them names and asked their names. The boys refused saying that he was nobody to talk to. Dr. Das and his wife reentered the Hall and came back with the Deputy Commissioner and the Principal. Dr. J.G. Das and the Deputy Commissioner again asked their names but the boys said that it was the Principal whom they would obey. Dr. J. G. Das then abused the students and so a protest but a student named Dilip Chakravarty whom we exonerated. Then a scuffle ensued in the course of which Dr. J. G. Das fell on the drivers, and when one student Lakhat by name tried to pull him out, the Deputy Commissioner dealt a blow on this student. Soon after some people demanded Dr. Das to hit car and the Deputy Commissioner went towards the Garrison Hall. The students then held a meeting and as a protest against the failure of the Principal to protect against the assault and insults of outsiders within the College precincts, resolved to strike and to hold a demonstration. In the meantime, some two dozens armed constables were seen marching by the College compound. The students undeterred went to the college and requested the girl students to join the strike and they did so. Then they went to the Collegiate School, whence also the boys came out in a body and marched in a procession towards the girls college and school side. Some 200 yards before they reached there they met the police force mentioned above, but they let the procession pass. Then the girls from school and college, the girls, small and grown up came out and joined the procession. Just in front of the gate the Deputy Commissioner stood in front of the procession and wanted to stop it; but when the leading students said that the procession would be peaceful, he allowed them to pass. The procession then went by the Shillong Road to the Anglo-Bengalee School and here also the students from this school joined them. By this time the number of students had grown to a volume to nearly 2,500 rolling along the Shillong Road. The procession then entered and passed by into a narrow road known as the Cecile Depot Road which in width would be about 25 feet only including the drain. When the students had come up to the crossing of this road with the Solabil Road, they were surprised to find that the armed police men were posted at the junction. A few yards ahead they found that the Deputy Commissioner himself with his driver with a posse of armed police with fixed bayonets were standing to prevent them to pass out. Towards the end of the procession some policemen armed with *lathies* and guns with bayonets were of course following the procession from the beginning. The northern side of the road was lined by iron railing of the Depot. On the southern side, a number of small huts close upon one another prevented any free movement of the processionists.

The processionists consisting of boys and girls under teens with their books were caught as it were in a trap. The students who led the procession entreated the Deputy Commissioner to let the procession pass but the Deputy Commissioner would not allow. He shouted "disperse", and almost instantaneously ordered "charge". The students who led the procession, as also one or two outside witnesses say that after that he started beating with a stick the pistol being in his left hand, the police force with *lathies* and guns fell upon the whole procession mercilessly. The Deputy Commissioner named the leaders and himself gave the blows, but the assault of the armed police was entirely indiscriminate, brutal and vindictive. The little boys and girls even were not spared. Girls received hits and many were trampled

in the melee. Witnesses say that the entire crowd retreated after the breaking of the coolie depot to a length of about a mile. They went to the huts of the peasants lying down from blows of lathis and bats of iron and then were beaten like beasts by the police. Girls and boys ran pale and terror-stricken, fleeing into the huts and yards of that filthy locality. Some of them ran through such narrow alleys to other quarters till they could escape from the fury of the wrathful police. It is said that the police even made their way into houses and dragged out boys and assaulted them. The Matapuri Jatra, the subject of Churamani Singh was the hottest in that massacre and he was severely wounded.

No wonder that in an attack like this there must be a large number of wounded and injured students. It is estimated that the number of students will not be less than 40. Some 17 admitted themselves in the hospitals of Calcutta hospitals and many were treated by private doctors. It is said that 200 students were assaulted. Of the wounded, most of them were struck either on the head due to lathi and some also on the hand received injuries due to trying to defend oneself with the hand. One girl received a bullet wound in the brain. A boy of 13 received multiple injuries. Dr. Bhattacharya, son of Barrister Bhattacharya, a figure so much respected in the people of Calcutta, received a small minor injury. Both the daughter and the son of the Deputy Commissioner of Police are said to have received no injury. I enclose a photograph of the nature that I have narrated before the House.

I do not know if stories of this nature, even the students at any rate, have been surpassed anywhere. The House will be able to understand that there is absolutely no allegation that the police were not acting in a lawless and lawless. We could not trace any evidence to the effect that the Deputy Commissioner at any stage declared that the association was illegal and what is more, the dispersal order was given at a place where the暴乱 began and dispersed. We were naturally anxious to bring this matter to the notice of Government by an adjournment motion, and we tried to bring that up on the 9th, as the hon. members know, and with what effect the hon. members have also been able to know.

It is necessary for me to say that never before in Assam was the public mind and the student world so agitated. The colleges and schools have gone on strike, public meetings are being held everywhere, and in Bar Libraries resolutions are being adopted expressing indignation at this occurrence. I read in the papers that even the Calcutta college had gone on strike in sympathy with the lot of the students at Gauhati. As against this, Sir, the demands that have been made by the students as well as the public do not seem to be such, to me at any rate, as could not be met on or that a solution is not possible. I am placing those demands for the information of the House.

All that they want is (i) a non-official enquiry into the whole incident; (ii) to prevent any interference with that enquiry they want that the Deputy Commissioner should be removed from the station, and that Dr. Das should be removed from the governing body of the college, if he is there; further, (iii) that the police action should be held up in the meanwhile. I should inform the House that instead of stopping the hands of the police, the Deputy Commissioner lodged an ejahar in the Thana alleging that the students were guilty of rioting, after we had gone to Gauhati and had actually inspected the injured persons in the hospital. This was evidently nearly 24 hours after the occurrence had taken place. After that, nearly 34 students have been arrested in the meanwhile, two of them have

arrived at Calcutta yesterday, in spite of the fact that the Hon'ble Premier advised me that no further action would be taken against the students. I requested the Hon'ble Prime Minister to let us know the attitude of Government towards our other demands by a communiqué. I personally think that if our demands can be met even now the situation may be very much calmed and tranquillity brought into the country. I personally feel, Sir, that this is not the time when we can afford to have such a situation in the country. That is all the more reason why I felt great anxiety that Government should do something in this matter. I again appeal to the Hon'ble Premier to set him self above the idea of party politics and see what he can do in the matter. I need hardly say that when the no-confidence motions have been called, the discussion on this question becomes more or less immaterial. I would therefore request the Hon'ble Premier to take such steps by which the situation can be saved. I understand both the Director of Public Instruction as well as the Inspector-General of Police made certain enquiries on the matter, and the Hon'ble Premier will be able to come to some understanding of the situation even from their standpoint also.

I personally feel that between the attitude of the students and the attitude of the Department or the Inspector-General of Police, it is even now possible to bring about a satisfactory compromise.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the learned Leader of the Opposition had been all courtesy to me over this matter. At every step he had corresponded with me, and I had also given him prompt replies. I possess his letter thanking me for the prompt action I took on the very first day. Only the other day, before leaving for Calcutta he sent to me a letter placing the demands of the students before me. He has narrated those demands and in the letter his demands were, first, that the Deputy Commissioner should be punished.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: I should make it clear to the Hon'ble Premier that they were not my demands ; these were the demands of the public.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: I never said that these demands were made by my hon. friend. The demands were, first, that the Deputy Commissioner should be punished and removed immediately from the station.....

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: This is not exactly the position. All that the demand wants is that the Deputy Commissioner should not be at the station, so that there may not be any interference with the enquiry.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: I am going by the demands that were placed before me by the hon. Leader of the Opposition.

The next demand is that Dr. Das, who is one of the principal figures in this unfortunate incident, should be removed from the Presidentship of the Sonaram High School, as also from the membership of the Managing Committee of the College.

Thirdly, there should be a non-official enquiry, and fourthly, all further proceedings should be stayed. I mentioned to the House the other day that I had the reports of the Inspector-General of Police and the Director of Public Instruction in my hand, and had there been a suitable opportunity, I would have placed them before the House. Now, from these demands it will be apparent, Sir, that all this involve questions of policy, viz., whether there should be a non-official enquiry or mixed enquiry or an official enquiry only.

Secondly, whether Dr. Day should be removed from the post of Vice-Chancellor of the college and also from the post of Vice-Chancellor of the Government High School, a High School in the Province. This is a question which I raised in the House on Sonaram Day, pleader of Gauhati, at the beginning of my speech. I have already stated on the floor of the House what was our stand, namely, that I had the opportunity to conduct the enquiry. I had said, Sir, that other leading personalities of Gauhati, in which, without intending any offence, I have included myself, my hon. friend Nejat Hossain Khan and Choudhury and my hon. friend the Leader of the Opposition, would form the committee of enquiry. Since then the position has changed. My hon. friend the Education Minister has resigned. I have also accepted his resignation, and therefore I am not in a position to deal with those questions of policy. I readily agreed with the last suggestion made by Mr. Bardoloi and immediately passed an order asking that the police should stay their hands from further arrests and searches. When I received the letter from Mr. Bardoloi that in spite of my order that none be arrested, he was still arrested, I at once communicated with the Chief Secretary and I asked him the Inspector-General of Police. But the Chief Secretary told me that it was not the fact. The police have stayed their hands from further arrests. That is the position and beyond that I have nothing further to say.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: I would like to inform the Hon'ble Premier that two students have been arrested only yesterday.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: My official information which I received from the Chief Secretary is that only seven students have been arrested and that the police have stayed their hands from making further arrests.

Srijut PURNA CHANDRA SARMA: Is it not a fact that thirty-four arrests have been made so far?

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: I cannot add to what I have heard from the Chief Secretary.

Mr. ARUN KUMAR CHANDA: Sir, the Hon'ble Premier has not stated anything about the result of the enquiries held by the Inspector-General of Police and the Director of Public Instruction.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: I do not propose to enter into details. I have already said that the police have been ordered to stay their hands from making further arrests.

Mr. ARUN KUMAR CHANDA: We want to know the contents of the reports submitted by these two officers.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: I am not going to take part in further discussion.

Babu RABINDRA NATH ADITYA: Does the Hon'ble Premier say that during the interregnum he would not pass any official orders till his resignation is accepted?

Srijut DEBESWAR SARMAH: Will not the Hon'ble Ministers dispose of files and draw their salaries until their resignation is accepted?

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: Unfortunately, Sir, we will have to draw our salaries till our resignation is accepted. We are not dealing with files involving question of policy but are doing only the routine files.

Srijut DEBESWAR SARMAH: We are wanting only the facts elicited by the *enquiries* of the others—Inspector General of Police and the Director of Public Instruction.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The Hon'ble Premier is not prepared to disclose facts on the grounds he has placed before the House.

I wish to know what the Leader of the Opposition wants to do with regard to his adjournment motion.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: In view of the far larger issue before the House, my adjournment motion dwindles into insignificance ; and I do not propose to move it but to join issues with it. I wanted, Sir, to make a statement on the unhappy incident at Gauhati, but in view of the attitude that has been taken by the Hon'ble Premier and also in view of the fact that there is a far more important matter regarding the existence of the Ministry before the House, I think, I could do no more than sit down.
Laughter

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Then, the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition is not going to press his adjournment motion. I think he has adopted the right course. When I directed in the last sitting of the Assembly that the adjournment motion should stand over till to-day, I indicated to the House that the chances of getting redress of the grievances which the adjournment motion sought to ventilate in this House, were not at all strong in the hands of the Ministry which was going to resign, and in that view I said that the cause is practically dead and that the Leader of the Opposition should consider whether he should pursue the adjournment motion. But as I did not get any direct answer from him, I kept up his motion to be disposed of to-day. But as he does not propose to move it, it falls through.

Then, I wish to know what the hon. members on this side (Opposition side) have got to say with regard to the request made by the Hon'ble Premier that the House should be adjourned till Monday.

Srijut DEBESWAR SARMAH: No, Sir, that cannot be.

(Voices from the Congress Party and the Nationalist Coalition Party—“Oh, no, no.”)

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: But I want the reasons against the adjournment asked for.

Mr. ARUN KUMAR CHANDA: Sir, we have not understood the meaning and proper implication of the resignation in the present case. I think that this resignation is not worth the paper on which it has been written until it is accepted by His Excellency. There is no guarantee that the resignation will be accepted by His Excellency, nor is there any assurance that His Excellency will not persuade the Hon'ble Premier to reconsider the matter. Besides, Sir, the Hon'ble Premier has taken up the position that as one of his Colleagues—*viz.*, Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri—has resigned, constitutionally he is bound to resign. But the House will remember that there is a number of no-confidence motions before them, and if we take into consideration the analogy of what happened in the Bengal Assembly when the Hon'ble Mr. Nalini Ranjan Sarkar resigned, we find that the Cabinet did not resign there on the issue of constitutional propriety and the Cabinet there carried on merrily in spite of the defection of Mr. Sarkar. Clearly therefore unless the Hon'ble Premier says that he does not enjoy the confidence of the House, he must be prepared to face the no-confidence motions. (*Hear, hear.*)

Babu KAMINI KUMAR SEN: Mr. Speaker, Sir, with your permission I may say a few words on the position which has been taken up by the Hon'ble Premier. He has stated that he has sent in his letter of resignation to the Chief Secretary yesterday. It is claimed sometimes that letter has reached His Excellency and as such it can still be accepted to be a valid resignation. Supposing that the letter has reached His Excellency, where is the guarantee that the resignation will be accepted? This particularly in view of the grounds that have been stated by the Hon'ble Premier. The grounds of joint responsibility which have been taken up by the Hon'ble Premier for resignation of the whole Cabinet, I do not think, cannot be tenable. If a no-confidence motion is passed against a particular Minister adopted in a particular department, and if that Minister is a particular Minister, it might reasonably entail the resignation of the whole Cabinet on the ground of joint responsibility because the person is the head of the whole Ministry. But I do not see any reason why it can be said that the whole Cabinet is bound to resign if a particular Minister resigns on his own account. Supposing, Sir, one of the Hon'ble Ministers does not resign, God forbid, [Laughter], what will be the position? I do not think constitutionally it is necessary that the whole Cabinet should resign. According to the precedents in Bengal, I would state that Mr. Nalin Ranjan Sarkar, Maulavi Nazim Ali and Maulvi Shamsuddin Ahmed dropped from the Cabinet one by one by resignation and it was never thought necessary for the whole Cabinet to resign. If we look to the precedent of the United Kingdom, we find, it is mentioned again, that Sir Samuel Hoare, Mr. Anthony Eden and Mr. Home Belisha resigned one by one, but it was never considered necessary for the whole Cabinet to resign. So, Sir, I do not find any reason which makes it necessary for the whole Cabinet to resign because of the resignation of a particular Minister. Under the circumstances, if the position that has been taken up by the Hon'ble Premier is untenable, and I maintain it is, His Excellency might not accept that position. His Excellency might say he does not agree with the position that has been taken up by the Hon'ble Premier and he might request the Hon'ble Premier to withdraw his resignation. Therefore, there is every chance that His Excellency might not accept that resignation.

Now, Sir, unless and until that resignation is accepted the Hon'ble Ministers are functioning; they may not deal with the question of policy, still they are legally appointed Ministers so long as their resignation is not accepted.

Khan Bahadur Maulavi KERAMAT ALI: On a point of information, Sir. May I ask the hon. speaker, who has just spoken, whether the no-confidence motion will apply also to Hon'ble Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri?

Babu KAMINI KUMAR SEN: I shall come to that later on. ^{Supposing} for argument's sake that His Excellency does accept the resignation, then what will be the position? The ground that has been taken up by the Hon'ble Premier is that he is resigning on the ground of joint responsibility as one of his Colleagues has resigned. He does not say that he is resigning on the ground that he has lost the confidence of the House. His only contention is that he has lost his Education Minister, but the purpose of lost his Education Minister is to show that the Hon'ble Premier has not only the right of the House to register its verdict on a particular Ministry, ^{cannot be} taken away only on the ground that the resignation letter has already

submitted—Sir, I would submit, Sir, that unless and until that resignation letter is accepted, the House has got every right to discuss that no-confidence motion. Besides, if it is not discussed, there will be further difficulty.

If the resignation of the Ministry is accepted, His Excellency will have to form a new Ministry. Now the resignation letter does not indicate whether the Hon'ble Premier commands the confidence of the House. If the resignation is accepted, His Excellency will have to look for another set of Ministers. If I remember aright, from the Instrument of Instructions it would be seen that His Excellency is to form a Ministry in consultation with a person whom his own judgment is likely to command a stable majority in the House. I think, I should better read that instruction. The Instrument of Instructions says:

"In making appointments to his Council of Ministers, Our Governor shall use his best endeavours to select his Ministers in the following manner, that is to say, to appoint in consultation with the person who in his judgment is most likely to command a stable majority in the Legislature those persons, including so far as practicable members of important minority communities, who will best be in a position collectively to command the confidence of the Legislature."

Sir, if this no-confidence motion is not discussed, if the House is not permitted to register its verdict on the Ministry, His Excellency will also be placed in a fix. It will be difficult for him, from the resignation letter that has been placed by the Hon'ble Premier, to find out which party commands the majority and which party is competent to form a Ministry.

Under these circumstances, Sir, by refusing to allow the no-confidence motion to be discussed, the House will not only be deprived of its inherent right of registering its verdict on the Ministry, which is no doubt unconstitutional, but it will also place His Excellency in a fix in forming a new Ministry. So, I submit, Sir, that there is absolutely no ground unless and until the resignation is accepted, to deny this right to this House. Sir, the present Ministers, as long as they continue as legally appointed Ministers, are, I think, bound to face the no-confidence motions that have been tabled by some of the hon. members.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The hon. member has not replied to the hon. Khan Bahadur Keramat Ali's point.

Babu KAMINI KUMAR SEN: With regard to Khan Bahadur Keramat Ali's point I think, Sir, that the no-confidence motions are against the whole Ministry and not against a particular Minister. Of course Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri placed his resignation before the no-confidence motions were tabled but I think as long as his resignation is not accepted, he will be presumed to be a member of the Ministry.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Who is to accept the resignation of the Hon'ble Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri, Governor or the Premier?

Babu KAMINI KUMAR SEN: I think it is the Governor, who will have to accept the resignation of the Hon'ble Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri, because the Act says that it is the Governor who is to appoint and dismiss the Ministers, of course, on the advice of the Premier. So, I take that it is the Governor who will have to accept the resignation letter, but unless and until that is accepted, Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri continues to be one of the Members of the Cabinet. The no-confidence motion is against the whole Cabinet or rather the policy of the whole Cabinet and so it does not affect any individual Minister personally.

Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED: Mr. Speaker, Sir, as the Hon'ble Premier has stated that there will be no prorogation of the House I do not understand why this no-confidence motion cannot be discussed on

the 15th at 2 p.m. I also cannot understand why His Excellency would not accept the resignation of the Hon'ble Premier unless he was compelled to know that the present Ministry does not command the majority of the House. We are not in a position to say whether His Excellency will or will not accept the resignation or not. We can only do so after acceptance of resignation by His Excellency. We and the whole nation would be satisfied that when the Premier has got the majority there can be no doubt that His Excellency shall have to accept his resignation. With these few words, Sir, I request that the House may be adjourned, when there is no prorogation, up to the 15th.

Srijut BELIRAM DAS: I am sorry to say that the Hon'ble Premier has told us lies and I doubt very much.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: What did the hon. member say the Hon'ble Premier said?

Srijut BELIRAM DAS: The Hon'ble Premier told us lies.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The hon. member must withdraw this statement. (Voices - Shatna! Shatna!)

Order, order.

Srijut BELIRAM DAS: I withdraw, Sir. I should like to say, Sir, that the other day the Hon'ble Premier said that he issued a telegram for the release of the political prisoners, but now it has come to light that he did not issue it. So I doubt very much whether he has tendered his resignation or not to His Excellency the Governor of Assam. So, unless and until he declares on the floor of the House that the House has no confidence on him, the motion should be moved on the floor of the House to ascertain by votes the verdict of the House.

Mr. BAIDYANATH MOOKERJEE: I should like to say a few words, Sir, on what my hon. friend Maulavi Jahanuddin Ahmed said. I am afraid he did not understand the real position. (Laughter) ; it was clearly explained both by my hon. friends Mr. Chanda and Mr. Sen that the resignation had been submitted on a different ground altogether.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: That has already been urged.

Mr. BAIDYANATH MOOKERJEE: Yes, Sir, but my hon. friend did not understand that difference. Because the resignation letter is there he thought the public would understand that the Hon'ble Premier had resigned on the ground that he did not enjoy the confidence of the majority of the House, that is his argument. I think, it would be better to explain to him that that is not the real position. The real position is that the no confidence motions explicitly said that the present Ministry does not enjoy the confidence of the majority of the House, whereas the Hon'ble Premier has submitted his resignation on the ground that because one of his Colleagues has resigned, so he is also constitutionally bound to resign ; these two are quite different propositions altogether. Now, my point is this, Sir, that when this motion is before the House, in my opinion the hon. members of this House have got full rights to test whether the present Ministry enjoys the confidence of the House or not. Sir, if I may be permitted to say so, I should like also to submit that the Hon'ble Speaker cannot but permit us to exercise the right that we are constitutionally entitled to—namely, the right to test whether the present Ministry enjoys the confidence of the majority of the House or not.

Babu RABINDRA NATH ADITYA:* Mr. Speaker, Sir, I want to say a few words. I differ from Mr. Sen on one point when he said that Sujit Kumar Chaudhuri will function as a member of the Cabinet, and so on. In this case, no motion of no-confidence which entail no-confidence on all the Members of the Cabinet include Sujit Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri also. That is his contention, but I differ from him. It is the right of the Hon'ble Premier to choose his Colleagues in the Cabinet and if it is no part of the duties of the Leader of the Government to choose the rest of the Colleagues of the Hon'ble Premier. I understand even on this occasion of resignation it is only the Hon'ble Premier who has tendered his resignation to the Chief Secretary and not all the Members of the Cabinet. Mr. Chaudhuri has tendered his resignation long before the no-confidence motions were tabled. These no-confidence motions do not therefore affect him at all. It is a no-confidence motion on the rest of the Members of the Cabinet.

Khan Bahadur Maulavi KERAMAT ALI:* May I know, Sir, from the hon. member how long before the no-confidence motions were moved Mr. Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri tendered his resignation?

Babu RABINDRA NATH ADITYA:* I did not mention the time.

Babu KAMINI KUMAR SEN:* On a point of personal explanation, Sir. I think, Mr. Aditya has not so far understood that the no-confidence motion is against the whole Ministry; it is tantamount to the policy of the Ministry and not against any individual in the personnel of the Ministry. No question arises as to whether the no-confidence is against A or B or C. It is against the whole Ministry, that is against the policy of the Ministry. So it does not matter whether A or B or C is included in the Cabinet. It does not matter whether Mr. Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri comes in or goes out.

Maulavi ABDUR RAHMAN: Mr. Mookerjee just now urged upon the Hon'ble Speaker to give the House a chance for testing the no-confidence motion which was lying before the House. Sir, the other day while the Leader of the Opposition pressed for the adjournment motion to be discussed you were good enough to announce that on the announcement made by the Hon'ble Premier that he was going to resign, it was no good flogging a dead horse. As the whole Cabinet is going to resign it is useless to carry on with any business, Sir.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The hon. member did not fully understand the implication of my statement. What I said was with reference to the adjournment motion on the Gauhati incident and I have explained that also to-day a few minutes ago.

Maulavi ABDUR RAHMAN: What I could understand from the Hon'ble Speaker, was that while the Government was going to get rid of their responsibility it was no good to take part in the debate whatsoever in the matter. Then Mr. Sen raised one point as to the legal aspect of the whole thing. I may just submit that he entertains some doubt whether the resignation submitted by the Hon'ble Premier will be accepted by His Excellency or after the acceptance of the resignation the present Hon'ble Premier would not get a chance to form the Ministry again. (At this stage Messrs. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed, Bishnu Ram Medhi and Mahadev Sarma entered the House to attend the Session after their release from Jail and were greeted with applause by the Congress Benches.)

*Speech not corrected by the hon. member concerned.

As a matter of fact, I think that will be the course adopted by His Excellency. Just after His Excellency accepts the resignation of the present Minister, I think, Sir, he will ask the present Hon'ble Member, Mr. Sen, to give his opinion as to whom he should call upon before the end of the session. As such I think, Mr. Sen should not hesitate at all to say that he would like that the Hon'ble Premier will get the first chance.

Srijut DEBESWAR SARMAH—My Honourable Sir, I am only going to speak just a word or two. The request of the Hon'ble Member has to be considered by you, Sir, as yet another Member has already done so on just sufficient ground. The legal aspect of the question has been fully met by Mr. Sen. Let us look at the real question of disposal of such a situation. Now, whenever any no-confidence motion is moved in the House, any Council of Ministers, or any Premier, for the purpose of it, can always forward and just state before the House, the reason for it. I am considering my resignation, I do not like to frustrate, I do not like to take the responsibility of the administration of the province. What is the effect? What is the logical sequence and consequence of acceptance of it? It would be referred to the Hon'ble Premier just to decide the important issue pending before the House, namely, the no-confidence motion. The main object of it, to my mind, that is thus that after he has tendered his resignation, there will be nothing to prevent His Excellency to re-consider his resignation and offering Premier to reconsider his decision and to withdraw his resignation.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER—That has been said by the hon. member Mr. Sen.

Srijut DEBESWAR SARMAH—I am about giving my statement, Sir. Then, the Premier may decide to withdraw his resignation or he may not. If he decide to withdraw his resignation, everything will stand as it was with him. If he does not, then it would lie with His Excellency either to accept the resignation or not. But in the meanwhile what would happen? Even if the resignation is accepted, I think His Excellency will call for the leaders of the different parties and it would undoubtedly take time to form a new Cabinet. What are we finding in the neighbouring province now? There, under similar circumstances, it has taken about a fortnight if not more to form a Cabinet incompletely even. Three out of proposed 15 Ministers have taken oath. During this period of adjournment the activities to strengthen party groups are anything but healthy. Apart from all these where is the guidance for His Excellency to come to a conclusion as to who will be able to form a Ministry? In this connection one issue has been raised by the hon. Khan Bahadur Keramat Ali. He raised the issue as to what will be the position of Hon'ble Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri, who resigned in respect of the vote of no-confidence. I submit, Sir, that question does not arise at all. It is not relevant to the issue before us. His Excellency will have to decide as to who will be the person that could form the Ministry, whether it is Sir Muhammad, or Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri or any other member. My point is where is that indication to His Excellency unless this House has the opportunity to give its verdict?

The next question is with regard to the statement of the Premier. It baffles me to hear him say that he is not functioning. I could not understand this statement. He is still a Premier, he is being dearly paid for out of the provincial Exchequer for these days. How can he say, Sir, that he has resigned? He has only made a show of resignation. He said never had tendered their resignation to the Chief Secretary. We have heard that the Premier tenders his resignation to the Chief Secretary. This is unprecedented. Of course, strange things may happen in Assam where a

Chief Secretary of another province is appointed as Governor of the province. But when here the resignation has been tendered to the Chief Secretary can we take it as a *false assumption*? I request you to consider these points.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER : The Chief Secretary is only the channel of communication in this case.

Srijut DEBESWAR SARMAH : Or the receptacle, Sir? What I was suggesting to you for consideration before you give the ruling is whether it is *duty-bound* on the part of the Premier to tell this House, Sir, that he refused to take part in the proceedings of the Assembly, that he could not add anything to what he said and that he would not take part in the discussions. Is that the proper attitude of a Premier of an autonomous province? I submit, Sir, that it is a contempt of the House. The House has a right to ask whether they have or have not confidence in the Ministry. In this connection I am reminded of a saying which it is useful to remember. That is, "One can fool some men all the time, all for some time, but no one can fool all men for all time." You have been asked to adjourn the House till 2 o'clock on 15th instant. But no one knows whether His Excellency would come back on that day also. Even if he comes, we are not sure whether a new Cabinet would be formed so soon. Therefore, there is no question of any adjournment of the House. The position is that the no-confidence motions have been fixed to be taken up at 3 p.m. to-day. I submit, Sir, that it is only proper, it is only legal and it is only commonsense view point to give the House the opportunity to discuss the motion, as already fixed.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA : Mr. Speaker, Sir, two issues arise on the contentions which have been placed before the House by my friends opposite. The first issue as to what the constitutional position is when a component part of the Ministry has resigned. I have always held the view that under the principle of joint responsibility when one Hon'ble Minister resigns on an issue pertaining to question of policy, then the entire Cabinet resigns.

Babu KAMINI KUMAR SEN : On a point of information, Sir, may we know what is the issue on which Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri resigned?

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA : I had not a word with my Hon'ble Colleague and the letter which he sent to me did not mention anything either. But from the press reports, I find that he is.....

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER : The press report is not relevant for the purpose of showing on what ground Hon'ble Srijut Chaudhuri resigned.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA : We in this House have very often referred to press reports and I am only quoting that because I have had no indication from him as to the issue on which he resigned. Those reports assert that he was resigning on the Gauhati incident issue.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER : When we have a resignation letter we must go by it and not by the press reports.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA : Anyway, Sir, my contention is that as I read the relevant section, the entire Cabinet has to resign whenever there is any defection from the Ministry on questions of policy. The question of sickness or death referred to by my hon. friend Mr. Sen, stands altogether on a different footing. The wording of the no-confidence motion is "That this Assembly expresses its want of confidence in the present Ministry of Assam". Now, Sir, the resignation letter of my Hon'ble friend Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri reached my hands

at 9.30 in the morning of the 9th instant and immediately after that, I convened a meeting of the rest of the Ministers and in 10.15 a.m. it was decided that the Ministry should tender their resignations. After Sir, at 10.45, a.m., I came personally to you in your other room and told you that the Ministry has already decided to resign. I did not ask you then if there was any no-confidence motion against the Ministry and it was only after I had made my position clear to you that I heard of the no-confidence motions from you.

Now, Sir, the hon. member Mr. Sen is quite correct when he said that the appointment and the removal of the Minister, or their dismissal or acceptance of the resignations are matters that lie in the Excellency's discretion. The relevant section of the Government of India Act is section 51 which clearly says that the Governor's Ministers shall hold office during his pleasure. Again in subsection 3 it is mentioned that the functions of the Governor under section 51 "with respect to the dismissing and summoning and the dismissal of Ministers shall be exercised by him in his discretion". Therefore, Sir, although the Hon'ble friend Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri tendered his letter of resignation to me, it rests entirely with His Excellency to accept it or to keep him on. Therefore until that resignation letter is accepted, the constitutional position is that he remains a part and parcel of the present Ministry. If the House by expressing its want of confidence on the entire Ministry wants to condemn him also, I am perfectly willing to abide by the decision of the House. My position is that—apart from the lack of gravity in manner and good choice of words and expressions on the part of one of my friends opposite who in his youthful enthusiasm breathed unparliamentary language.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: That incident is closed now.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLAH: I might only say that my position is this. Let my friends have not the least doubt that I have tendered my resignation and I would stick to that letter. If in spite of this assurance from me, my friends press that this motion should be discussed in the House and if you agree to do that, as the Ministry is not responsible for the administration of Assam, I and my party shall have to withdraw.

The Hon'ble Srijut ROHINI KUMAR CHAUDHURI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I do not wish to take any part in the controversy which is going on now, but I would only state two facts before the House. The first is that the hon. members who tabled the no-confidence motions knew that I had resigned before they actually tabled their motions and secondly that I have even till now made no statement to the press about the reasons of my resignation.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: Yes, we wanted to get a statement from the Hon'ble Minister who had resigned, I mean Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri. We wanted him to make a personal statement before the House in accordance with Rule 94 of the Assembly Rules.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: It is his option. But he has stated that he is not going to make a statement now. The rule is that if a Minister after resignation wants to make a statement he can do so with the permission of the Chair.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: I am just suggesting that the objection that has been raised by the Premier against him does not alter the situation of the whole case. The issue regarding the motion of no-confidence

and they are distinctly different. As much has been said on this subject, I do not propose to take that point again. But I venture to suggest that if we are deprived of discussing this matter, we are deprived of a privilege which we have a right to enjoy. I say that the issue being a discussion of the change over we should be permitted to proceed with the discussion of the no-confidence motion.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: May I ask the Hon'ble Premier one question, whether there is any bar to table a no-confidence motion in the House on account of the fact that the Ministry has tendered its resignation?

The Hon'ble Maulavi Sayyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLA: There is no bar. But you have stated the other day, there is no use of discussing this motion after the resignation of the Ministry, when the Ministry is not functioning.

Mr. JOBANG D. MARAK: Mr. Speaker, Sir, is it not a fact that although the Premier has tendered his resignation and that resignation might be accepted by His Excellency the Governor he may again be called upon by His Excellency to form a Ministry?

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: That has been already said. Order, order. The request of the Hon'ble Premier is no doubt a very simple one. It is that the House should stand adjourned till Monday next when His Excellency the Governor is expected to return and decide the question of resignation and send his protogation order. The House was adjourned on the 9th till today. The Hon'ble Premier declared on that day that he would not transact any business. So without any of the items of the business of the Session that were to be disposed of, the House adjourned till today with only the no-confidence motions fixed up for discussion. But in connection with the question involved in the request, another point has arisen from the objections urged against the adjournment asked for as to whether the no-confidence motions which have been fixed for discussion today should be allowed to be discussed or not. From what has been said by hon. members on both sides of the House, it would be necessary for me to think over the points raised and give a considered ruling on the points urged. Therefore I propose to adjourn the House to 2.30 p.m.; and if I decide that the no-confidence motion should be taken up, it will be taken up at 3 p.m. which is the hour already fixed for the purpose. With regard to the other no-confidence motion that has been tabled by hon. Srijiit Ramnath Das today, I may tell the House that after giving my ruling I shall take it up for disposal.

Khan Bahadur Maulavi KERAMAT ALI: May I say one word, Sir, before we adjourn? On a previous occasion, you may remember, that you expressed the view that it was unnecessary to discuss a no-confidence motion after the Ministry had tendered their resignation. On this occasion also, Sir, the no-confidence motions were tabled after the Ministry expressed their decision to resign. Perhaps you will remember that last time as soon as the Hon'ble Premier announced that he tendered his resignation, the House was adjourned, and the no-confidence motions were not taken up at all.

Adjournment

The Assembly then adjourned for lunch till 2.30 p.m.

After lunch

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The House met today with practically no usual legislative business of the session to be transacted except a pending notice of an adjournment motion to be disposed of and some

motions of no-confidence in the Ministry fixed up for discussion at 3 p.m. The House is well aware of the circumstances which arose on the declaration by the Hon'ble Premier on the floor of the House on 9th December last that he would tender his resignation of his high office and that his Ministry would not transact any legislative business during the remaining days of the Session, necessitating adjournment of the House till today with the no-confidence motions fixed up for discussion. The Hon'ble Premier has intimated the House by the statement he has made that he has sent his resignation to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Assam to be submitted in due course to His Excellency the Governor, and he has asked for an adjournment of the House till the 15th, so that on his return from tour His Excellency may prorogue the Assembly. It therefore appears that the adjournment asked for is only just to enable His Excellency the Governor to prorogue the Assembly and he has not distinctly said, while making the request, as to what should be done with the no-confidence motions. The implications of the Hon'ble Premier's request have been understood by the House to be that no-confidence motions should not be taken up today, and must also stand over for the 15th. Strong objections have been raised to the adjournment asked for, and a debate has therefore followed, placing all facts before the House, so that I may decide whether the House should not be adjourned as requested and whether the no-confidence motions should not be taken up today.

The object for which the adjournment has been asked for is of enable, as has been said before, His Excellency the Governor on his return from tour to send in his order of prorogation to me. But as to why the no-confidence motions should not be moved the only ground that has been relied upon appears to me to be that in view of the fact that the Hon'ble Premier has tendered his resignation as a sequel to the resignation of his office by one of his colleagues in the Ministry, the no-confidence motions should not be allowed to be moved. The Hon'ble Premier has admitted that the fact that his resignation, which is to be taken as the resignation of his Ministry, is pending before His Excellency, though it has not yet reached his hands, does not stand in the way of the no-confidence motions being moved. The question therefore reduces itself to this, i.e., whether it is desirable, in view of the fact that there is the resignation of the Ministry pending, that the no-confidence motions should be moved.

Now, I should at once tell the House that even if the Chair holds that it is not desirable that the motions should be moved, the further question arises whether on that ground it should prevent the no-confidence motions being discussed. To move the no-confidence motions already fixed up to be discussed today has become a vested right in the hon. members who have brought forward the motions, and it will be taking away that right if the Chair does not allow the motions to be moved on the ground that it is undesirable to do so in the circumstances that have arisen on the Hon'ble Premier having tendered his resignation. It is certainly up to the hon. members who are responsible for these no-confidence motions being before the House to consider whether in the existing circumstances of the possibility of the Ministry going out of office they should or should not move these motions. But how these hon. members are inclined in regard to the question of postponing the discussion of the motions has been sufficiently indicated by the strong speeches that have been made in the course of the debate by some members of the parties to which they belong. Therefore in the view I take of the rights and duties of the Chair in a matter like this I do not think it will be proper for the Chair, in the face of the objections

that have been raised, to prevent the discussions of the no-confidence motions today, which will certainly be the result if the House is adjourned in the manner indicated by the Hon'ble Premier.

Again, for the prorogation of a Session of the Assembly by His Excellency the Governor it is not necessary that the Session should be continued by the Chair beyond the last date of the Session already fixed up, specially when no item of Government or private members' business are to be disposed of, but only the disposable business of the House such as a no-confidence motion is to be kept pending; because prorogation of the Assembly can also be effected by His Excellency the Governor by a notification in the Gazette. If I am to adjourn the House today up to the 15th as requested I shall have to keep up the no-confidence motions and there will be no other business to be transacted that day. But I do not see any necessity to do so, because it would be of no advantage to anybody, as I doubt very much whether His Excellency the Governor would send his prorogation order worded in such a way as would have the effect of the vested right of the sponsors of the no-confidence motions to move them being taken away. Generally the prorogation orders are so worded as to take effect from the conclusion of the meeting of the day on which it is to be announced on the floor of the House through the Chair. Again, the Hon'ble Premier's request for an adjournment of the House may be open to an objection by the hon. members who are responsible for the no-confidence motions that if His Excellency the Governor issued a notification proroguing the Assembly before the 15th, on the mere fact that on his acceptance of the resignation of the Ministry the Session cannot continue, their right of moving the no-confidence motions would be destroyed. Although I have no such apprehension of a notification for proroguing the Assembly being issued before the 15th, I cannot say that it is not a valid objection. But, as I have indicated above, His Excellency the Governor, when he would come to know that no-confidence motions are pending, would not send such a prorogation order as would have the effect of preventing the no-confidence motions being moved on the 15th, even if the House be adjourned to that date. So, in this view when the no-confidence motions will have to be moved even on the 15th in case of an adjournment of the House to that date, I do not see any reason why it should not be done today, specially when there are such objections to an adjournment of the House asked for by the Hon'ble Premier.

There is also considerable force in what has been urged on the floor of the House in favour of no-confidence motions being moved today. The no-confidence motions have been tabled with the intention, as it would appear from their terms, to establish the issue that the Ministry does not enjoy the confidence of the House. But the resignation that has been tendered by the Hon'ble Premier is not on the ground that he does not enjoy the confidence of the House any longer, but on the ground that according to his own view of the joint responsibility of the Ministry it should go out of office as one of its members has tendered his resignation. The constitutional position that is created by a motion of no confidence in the Ministry being passed is in several important respects quite different from the position that arises on the resignation of the Ministry on the ground on which the Hon'ble Premier has chosen to tender his resignation. As I understand the terms of the no-confidence motions, and from what has been said on the floor of the House by the hon. members urging that those motions be moved today, it is clear that the intention is to convey to His Excellency the Governor, while he would be considering the resignation letter of the Ministry, the additional fact that the Ministry does not also enjoy the

confidence of the House. In what manner the Ministry may have lost the confidence of the House, His Excellency the Governor has been asked to state, and who have spoken in favour of the resignation of the Ministry? If really the resignation of the Ministry is demanded on the ground that they did not enjoy the confidence of the House, then the no-confidence motion must be voted. I would have done so, but I would have done so in accordance with the constitution, with the same H.M.C. as was adopted by me in office in the year 1939. Hon. Mr. J. R. Jayalath has paid attention to what I said then. I am now well aware that the circumstances are quite different from the circumstances of that occasion. Briefly, the reason that was given for the confidence motion in that case was that the Ministry tendered their resignation before the House voted what I said on that occasion. It was the no-confidence motion in the Ministry which caused the Ministry do not enjoy the confidence of the House. His Excellency the Governor has been asked to state if the Ministry do not enjoy the confidence of the House, he should accept the resignation of the Minister and let him resign. But I do not see any use in insisting the Minister to resign. The House would very well understand that on that point we agreed with me and the speaker of the house did not insist on it rather, felicitations were offered to the House. Then, as the House, for the course he adopted.

If we had the fact before us today that the resignation of the Hon. Mr. Premier had already been accepted by His Excellency the Governor, the fact certainly the no-confidence motions would have taken place. The reason that the House stood adjourned on the 9th inst. to coincide with the no-confidence motions to be taken up at 3 p.m. was certainly one of advantage to those hon. members who would not like that the no-confidence motions should be moved. But, unfortunately, His Excellency the Governor is still out of the station and there is no probability of the resignation of the Ministry being accepted before 3 p.m. today. However, as the position stands from all points of view, I cannot but allow the no-confidence motions to be moved today.

After the no-confidence motions are disposed of, the business of the day will come to a close; and I would adjourn the House *in die*, necessitating a notification of prorogation by His Excellency the Governor in the Gazette. If, however, His Excellency the Governor be so pleased, he may require his acceptance of the resignation of the present one.

The Hon'ble Maulavi Saiyid Sir MUHAMMAD SAADULLAH: Before you ask the hon. member to move his motion, I will make a statement, Sir. As I have said, I will bow down to your ruling, but as I have already tendered resignation of the Cabinet, I see no point for me or the party remaining in the House and take part in the proceedings from fore, Sir, the Ministry and the Group which they are all sitting in the House.

(The Ministry along with the party then retired from the House.)

Srijut RAMNATH DAS: Sir, I do not like to move the motion* for the present stage.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Then we shall have to wait for five days or so. It is not the time for taking up the no-confidence motions. Let me recall what I said, when the Hon'ble Speaker called upon Mr. Nara Kumar Dutta to move his motion.

Motion of no-confidence against the Ministry—Discussion

Mr. NARA KUMAR DUTTA: Sir, I beg to move:

"That this Assembly expresses its want of confidence in the present Ministry of Assam."

Mr. DAS: Sir, before I proceed to discuss the reasons why I move this motion, I may like to make it clear that I tabled this motion after I learnt from the Hon'ble Member Rohum Kumar Chaudhuri had resigned. The Hon'ble Leader again, who agreed that there could not have been a greater calamity than the Sir Saadulla's Ministry than the resignation of the Hon'ble Member Rohum Kumar Chaudhuri.

There is also a section of people in the country who have some sort of confidence in Mr. Chaudhuri, but after his resignation the last vestige of confidence in Sir Saadulla's Ministry has completely disappeared.

Sir, my own share in the censure pointed by the motion before the House against Sir Saadulla's Ministry, I will bear with fortitude, because the House tell me I am absolutely justified and I am voicing the feelings of my constituency. I have known Sir Muhammad Saadulla as a person having a long parliamentary career and much parliamentary adroitness. How pitiful that he wants to ignore the House which has so long given him this position!

Sir, today the Hon'ble Premier finds himself in a position of an apologetical character to many who were once of his own Party. The way in which he has been running the administration since he resumed office in 1939, was bound to alienate anybody who had the slightest sense of duty and self-respect. The high-flying course which the Hon'ble Premier has been adopting in administering the affairs of this province has created country-wide bitterness. But he goes on in the same way with only one idea—an idea which may keep him in power and which will satisfy his anti-national and parochial feelings. With an official superiority and with a capacity of conferring good offices on those he prefers, Sir Muhammad Saadulla thinks perhaps that there is no such thing as public opinion. The creation of one special post after another and the various appointments are only few instances of his many high-handed acts.

The Land Development Scheme which he has introduced in co-operation of some other Colleagues of his Cabinet—and for which in the Hon'ble Revenue Minister—Khan Bahadur Maulavi Sayidur Rahman, he has found his best Lieutenant—has brought ruin to the Assamese people and specially to the tribal people and it threatens their very existence. The Lime System exists in theory today and the Hon'ble Revenue Minister and Sir Saadulla and his other Colleagues seem to think that they have achieved within two years what could not be achieved within twenty years.

* That this Assembly has no confidence in the Hon'ble Maulavi Sayid Sir Muhammad Saadulla, the Premier of Assam and his Ministry, for their action in deliberately delaying the release of the *Satyagrahi* prisoners, particularly the members of this Assembly in pursuance of the direction of the Government of India.

The disclosure, which my hon. friend Srijut Sudhu Nath Sarma made in the House the other day, clearly shows how Sir Muhammad Saadulla wants to break some communities to pieces. Sir Saadulla is blind to the feeling of nationalism that pervades India today, and it seems that he cannot reconcile himself to the new atmosphere. He must therefore retire to private life.

Sir Saadulla's present Cabinet has been in power for two years. For full one year the Opposition benches in the House were being vacant. During this time Sir Saadulla and his Colleagues became sole administrators of the province and we shall only have better expression of this period.

It is apparent, Sir, that a sense of impunity from all accountability prevails among the members of Sir Saadulla's Ministry. Only the other day almost an unparalleled tragedy occurred at Gauhati when a peaceful crowd of boys and girls of tender age was brutally attacked by the police with bayonets and many were seriously injured.

Mr. BAIDYANATH MOOKERJEE: A second Jallianwallahbagh tragedy!

Mr. NABA KUMAR DUTTA: There has been great indignation all over the country for this most unprovoked attack on innocent boys and girls. The Hon'ble Premier, who happens to be in charge of the Home portfolio, did not even care to go down to visit the locality.

Sir Muhammad Saadulla has become so callous to public opinion, his acts have been so unpopular, that he must not continue in his high office. He asks the members of the House to follow him, to support him. But who is to follow him or why is anybody to follow him or where is anybody to follow him to? What does he mean to do? Are we to follow him to vacate our hearth and home? Are we to follow him to divide our home into different factions or are we to follow him for the blessings he has showered on some whom he loves? Sir Saadulla and his Colleagues were put in their exalted position mainly by the representatives of the tribal people. But as soon as the Opposition disappeared from the House, they never hesitated to turn round upon these supporters and treat them with contempt and disdain. (*Hear, hear.*)

Sir Saadulla should now fully realise that the people can tolerate his Cabinet no more. The administration has reached the lowest depth both politically and morally, and economically the province is on the border of bankruptcy. Let the Cabinet make its exit so that public affairs may be disinterestedly, faithfully and honestly performed. With these words, I commend my motion for the acceptance of the House.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Motion moved:

'That this Assembly expresses its want of confidence in the present Ministry of Assam.'

Srijut RAM NATH DAS: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would speak a few words in support of the motion moved by my hon. friend Mr. Naba Kumar Dutta. I also tabled a no-confidence motion on the present Ministry, but I thought that I would get time to speak on the motion of my friend Mr. Dutta; so I did not move my motion.

My motion was to censure the present Government—especially the Hon'ble Premier and his Colleagues mainly for their delay in releasing the political prisoners—particularly those who are members of this Assembly—in pursuance of the direction of the Government of India.

Sir, the Government of India issued a communique on the 3rd instant to release all the *Satiyakhil* prisoners and the communique came out in the newspapers. When the Hon'ble Premier was asked by some hon. members on this side of the House as to what he was going to do about the release of the political prisoners, he replied that no communication was made to him direct and said that as soon as he would get the order from the Central Government, he would forthwith release the political prisoners. In this way some days passed and on the 9th instant he told the House that he got the order from the Central Government and that he would release the political prisoners by a telegraphic order. After giving that assurance to the House he did not issue any order on the line.

On the contrary, so far as I know, Sir, no political prisoner has been released by any order issued by him till this day. Fortunately, Sir, we have got some of our hon. members today in this House who were in prison, but their release is not due to any order passed by the Hon'ble Premier as he assured the House but they have finished their term of normal imprisonment and have come to this House with great difficulty. Therefore, Sir, I censure the Ministry for their dilatory tactics. They have adopted this course only to keep the political prisoners who are members of this House away and to avert the crisis which is before the House today. For this procedure, Sir, I say that the present Ministry do not enjoy the confidence of the House.

In addition to that, Sir, I may mention that the incident of Gauhati has created a panic not only throughout the province of Assam but also throughout India. The Hon'ble Premier has not taken such steps which would have eased the situation. He said that he had decided to tender resignation and, therefore, could not adopt a policy which would bind his successor. In addition to that, Sir, I censure the present Government for the Development Scheme in which the existence of the indigenous people, especially the tribals and the scheduled castes is threatened.

Lastly, Sir, I want to say that I have tabled a no-confidence motion today, although I did not move it. I tabled my motion today, because our friend Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri has already resigned from this Government.

With these few words, Sir, I support the motion of my friend Mr. Naba Kumar Dutta.

Mr. JOBANG D. MARAK: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have listened to the speech of my friend Mr. Naba Kumar Dutta while moving this motion from beginning to end and I fully support it. It is my personal conviction that this Government or any Government cannot be stabilised without having a good backing from the public at large and I believe, Sir, that the present Saadulla Cabinet of Assam do not have that, and this very thing is being expressly shown in this Hon'ble House.

With these few words, Sir, I support this motion.

Srijut GOPINATH BARDOLOI: I need hardly say, Sir, that I do not believe in a peroration which smacks of partiality and with the Treasury Benches empty. Nevertheless, I consider it necessary to state in unmistakable terms the attitude of our party over this motion.

The Government which Sir Saadulla led did not at any time carry confidence of the members of this side of the House.

In the war effort, Sir, he had gone to a length which no other Provincial Government had gone. He made a gift of a lakh of rupees from the public exchequer when the needs of the people were crying for redress.

He had during our absence from here, Viceroy's permission to do what he pleased that it made it impossible for us to remain in office, and that we were forced to come here and ledge our protest.

On the many incidents of obstruction and delay which have been referred to by my hon. friends who have addressed the House, I do not propose to say anything. I only repeat, once, that the charge levelled by Sir Muhammad Saadulla does not appear to be true.

Mr. A. WHITTAKER Mr. Speaker, Sir, I will content myself with the customary attention to the speech of the hon. member opposite. I would I can describe the speech in one sentence—a picture of Viceroy I have in “with malice to all and generosity to none.”

I personally regret that in this particular speech there was no regard paid this House towards the absent members present. I do not care to decide on the merits of the case, because I think that it is not for me to do so. The movers of the no-confidence motion are apt to the practice of putting in a one-sided statement, which will only be repeated over and over again when they seek to censure. I wish therefore to make the same statement, that although from the speeches so far delivered, we are not in a position to pass a plenary judgment, nor are we in a position to criticise the speech which can be expressed by a vote given in the lobby.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER I called upon and invited to speak any other member rose to speak. Then I am going to put this as a question before the House. The question is

“That this Assembly expresses its want of confidence in the present Ministry of Awam.”

The Assembly divided.

Ans. 56

1. The Hon'ble Srijut Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri.
2. Raja Ajit Narayan Dev.
3. Babu Akshay Kumar Das.
4. Mr. Arun Kumar Chanda.
5. Mr. Baidyanath Mookerjee.
6. Babu Balaram Sircar.
7. Srijut Beliram Das.
8. Srijut Bepin Chandra Medhi.
9. Babu Bipin Behari Das.
10. Srijut Bishnu Ram Medhi.
11. Babu Dakshina Ranjan Gupta Chaudhuri.
12. Srijut Debeswar Sarma.
13. Srijut Ghanashyam Das.
14. Srijut Gaurikanta Talukdar.
15. Srijut Gopinath Bardoloi.
16. Srijut Haladhar Bhuyan.
17. Babu Harendra Narayan Chaudhuri.

18. Srijut Jader Prasad Chakrabarty.
19. Srijut Jeeendra Chandra Nath.
20. Srijut Jeeendra Nath Nayak Mandal.
21. Srijut Joge Chandra Gohain.
22. Babu Kalachand Roy.
23. Srijut Kamal Das.
24. Babu Kamini Kumar Sen.
25. Mr. Kedarmal Brahmin.
26. Srijut Krishna Nath Sarman.
27. Srijut Laksheswar Borooah.
28. Babu Lalit Mohon Kar.
29. Srijut Mahadev Sarma.
30. Srijut Mahi Chandra Bordoloi.
31. Mr. Naba Kumar Dutta.
32. Babu Narendra Nath Dev.
33. Srijut Omeo Kumar Das.
34. Srijut Paramananda Das.
35. Srijut Purandar Sarma.
36. Srijut Purna Chandra Sarma.

Avns.—56—*contd.*

- | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 37. Babu Rabindra Nath Barua, | 48. Khan Bahadur Maulavi |
| 38. Srijut Rajani Kanta Baruah, | Mahmud Ali, |
| 39. Srijut Rajendra Nath Barua, | 49. Srijut Bhairab Chandra Das, |
| 40. Srijut Ram Nath Das, | 50. Srijut Bideshi Pan Tanti, |
| 41. Srijut Sankar Chandra Barua, | 51. Srijut Binode Kumar J. |
| 42. Srijut Santosh Kumar Barua, | Sarwan, |
| 43. Srijut Satyendar Barua, | 52. Mr. Jobang D. Marak, |
| 44. Babu Shubendra Chandra Biswas, | 53. Rev. J. J. M. Nichols-Roy, |
| 45. Srijut Siddhu Nath Sarma, | 54. Srijut Khorsing Terang, |
| 46. Srijut Somaram Dutta, | 55. Srijut Rabi Chandra Kachari, |
| 47. Mr. Fakhtuddin Ali Ahmed, | 56. Babu Sanat Kumar Ahir, |

Nots.—Nil.

The question was adopted.

AdjournmentThe Assembly was then adjourned *sine die*.

Shillong;

A. K. BARUA,

The 7th February 1942.

Secretary, Legislative Assembly Assam.

R. C. D.